

Original Article

Dietary incorporation of magnetic bentonite nanocomposite: impacts on *in vitro* fermentation pattern, nutrient digestibility, and growth performance of Baluchi male lambs

Ibrahimi Khoram Abadi, E.^{1*}; Heydari, S.² and Kazemi, M.¹

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Torbat-e Jam, Torbat-e Jam, Iran; ²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Torbat-e Jam, Torbat-e Jam, Iran

*Correspondence: E. Ibrahimi Khoram Abadi, Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Torbat-e Jam, Torbat-e Jam, Iran. E-mail: el.ebrahimi@tjamcaas.ac.ir

 \bigcirc 10.22099/IJVR.2024.47753.6919

(Received 30 Jun 2023; revised version 8 Jan 2024; accepted 13 Feb 2024)

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Abstract

Background: Incorporation of bentonite into the diets of ruminants can be helpful to maximize their performance. Modifying the structure of bentonite to nano and nanocomposite has improved their chemical stability and physicochemical properties, enhancing adsorption, absorption, and cation exchange capacity. Aims: This study aimed to assess the effect of magnetic bentonite nanocomposite (MBNC) on in vivo and in vitro fermentation process patterns, nutrient digestibility, and growth performance of Baluchi male lambs. Methods: Effects of control (basal diet), natural bentonite (NB) (10 g/kg dry matter (DM)), processed bentonite (PB) (5 and 10 g/kg DM basal diet), and MBNC (5 and 10 g/kg DM basal diet) on gas production (GP), and the fermentation process were determined using in vitro GP technique. For the in vivo experiment, 20 Baluchi male lambs were used with 4 experimental treatments: control, NB (5 g/kg DM), PB (5 g/kg DM), and MBNC (5 g/kg DM) and 5 replications in a completely randomized design for 60 consecutive days. Results: The potential for GP and its fractional rates were significantly decreased and increased in MBNC, respectively (P<0.01). The lowest cumulative GP, and CH₄ yield were observed in MBNC (P<0.05). In vitro, DM and organic matter (OM) digestibility and all fermentation parameters increased with the addition of two levels of MBNC to the culture medium (P<0.01). Except for feed conversion ratio (FCR), other growth performance parameters increased with the addition of MBNC to the diet (P<0.01). The ruminal pH, total volatile fatty acids (TVFA), acetate, and propionate significantly increased when MBNC incorporated to the diet $(P<0.01)$. The NH₃-N $(P<0.001)$ was significantly decreased in MBNC. The bentonite supplementation decreased acetate to propionate ($P=0.001$) compared to the control. Conclusion: Adding MBNC at the 5 g/kg diet DM level can be used as a useful supplement to optimize rumen fermentation pattern, reduce methane production, and increase lamb performance.

Key words: Baluchi sheep, Gas production, *In vivo*, Nanoclay

Introduction

Supplementing ruminant rations with feed additives can optimize the ruminant's performance by regulating the fermentation process and increasing nutrient usage. Pre-gastric fermentation of organic matter by microbes in the rumen can cause inherent losses in energy (up to 15%) of gross energy) and nitrogen (75-85% of nitrogen intake) (Grabherr et al., 2009). So, it appears that increasing the DM digestibility and mitigating CH₄ and nitrogen pollution, can enhance ruminant productivity (Connor, 2015).

Recently, the utilization of clay-based supplements to optimize ruminant performance has been developed (Al-Sudani and Al-Rubii, 2021). Bentonite is a natural clay $((Na, Ca) (Al, Mg) (Si₄O₁₀)₃ (OH)₆-nH₂O) containing$ montmorillonite as a significant constituent and characterized by colloidal properties due to its aluminosilicate structure (Maryan and Montazer, 2015; Tate et al., 2015). The bentonite effectively reduces the bioavailability and distribution of aflatoxin (AF) throughout the body (Maki et al., 2017). Due to high cation exchange capacity (CEC), natural bentonite has antibacterial properties (Banat et al., 2002). However, antimicrobial properties were higher in nano-bentonites compared to the natural forms (Magaña et al., 2008; Maryan and Montazer, 2015).

By altering the structure of bentonite through various mechanical methods and density separation procedures, the function of bentonite can be optimized (Maki et al., 2017). Eliminating phosphate types via a novel bentonite-alum absorptive suggested by Mahadevan et al. (2018). In 2017, Huang et al. synthesized organobentonite by altering the structure of bentonite with cetyl

trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB). The ability of the modified bentonite-alum polymer to coat ceramic substrates was demonstrated by Martinez et al. (2017). Pandey (2017) investigate the adsorption capacities, thermodynamics, regeneration capabilities, and kinetic behavior of the modified bentonite composites for the removal of heavy metal ions such as Cu^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , $Cr⁶⁺$, and Ni²⁺ from aqueous solutions. The modifications involve the use of various compounds such as nanoclays, organic-inorganic nanocomposites, and polymeric composites. The impact of nano-bentonite synthesis on Salmonella typhimurium mutation was investigated by Degtyareva et al. (2016). The investigation of the antimutagenic properties of nano-bentonite indicates that it has a moderate inhibitory impact on mutagenesis induced by mitomycin C, 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine, and ethyl methane sulphonate. However, it does not hinder the genotoxic effects of hydrogen peroxide. Bama and Sundrarajan (2017) produced an antibacterial Ag/TiO₂/bentonite nanocomposite against some bacterial species.

Recent studies have shown that modifying the structure of bentonite to nano and nanocomposite has improved their chemical stability and physicochemical properties, including adsorption, absorption, and CEC (El-Nile et al., 2021). At the same time, the particle size and dose can affect dry matter digestibility (DMD) and reduce the methane (CH_4) and ammonia nitrogen $(NH_3$ -N) production (El-Nile *et al.*, 2021). We expect that the novel properties of the bentonite nanocomposite will enable us to regulate the ruminal fermentation process, efficiently. Therefore, the current study aimed to assess the effect of dietary supplementation with magnetic bentonite nanocomposite on *in vitro* fermentation process, nutrient digestibility, and performance in Baluchi male lambs.

Materials and Methods

Experimental feed additives

Natural aluminosilicate structured hentonite (Zarin $(Bentofeed^{\mathbb{M}})$ and processed bentonite Binder^{plus™}) were supplied from Vivan Trading Company, Qaen, Iran. The chemical composition and physical properties of the aluminosilicate structured bentonite used in the study are presented in Table 1.

In a typical synthesis of $Fe₃O₄$ bentonite nanocomposite, the 50 ml of distilled water was vigorously stirred at 80°C, causing the 2 g of FeCl₂.4H₂O and 5.2 g of FeCl₃.6H₂O to dissolve. Subsequently, 200 ml of 25% NH₄OH was gradually added to the mixture. Then, 3 g of bentonite was added to the mix. After 3 h, a magnet was used to isolate the magnetic bentonite nanocomposite particles. Synthesized bentonite nanocomposite particles were washed via ultrapure water and dried at 50° C for 24 h (Heydari et al., 2019).

To detect the surface morphology of the adsorbent, the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) figures (SEM, TESCAN Mira3) and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum were used (Figs. 1 and 2). Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectrum of a $Fe₃O₄$ bentonite nanocomposites particles was obtained from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ (Perkin Elmer 1750 FTIR Spectrophotometer) (Fig. 3) (Sulaymon et al., 2014; Heydari et al., 2019).

In vitro trial

The treatments for the *in vitro* trial were comprised of a control (basal diet without supplements) (Table 2), one level of the natural bentonite (NB) $(10 \text{ g/kg} \text{ DM})$, two additional levels of the processed bentonite (PB) (5 and 10 g/kg DM basal diet), and two additional levels of the magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) (5 and 10 g/kg DM basal diet).

Gas production procedure

The protocol outlined by Menke and Steingass (1988) was followed during the gas test. Fresh rumen fluid was collected from three male Baluchi sheep that were slaughtered and had been fed a diet formulated for

Table 1: Chemical composition and physical properties of the natural and processed forms of bentonite

Item	Natural bentonite (Bentofeed TM)	Processed bentonite (Zarin Binder ^{plus™})						
Chemical composition (%)								
TiO ₂	0.22	0.22						
CaO	2.65	2.65						
K_2O	0.75	0.75						
Na ₂ O	2.67	2.67						
MgO	2.57	2.57						
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.34	2.34						
Al_2O_3	12.7	12.7						
SiO ₂	64.5	64.5						
Physical properties								
Water absorption capacity $(\%)$	700-750	700-750						
Swelling index $(m1/2 g)$	19-21	19-21						
Moisture content $(\%)$	$4 - 8$	$4-8$						
Particle size (mesh)	50-400	37						
CEC (meq/100 g)	100-110	100-110						
Heavy metals (ppm)								
Pb	13	11						
C _d	0.1	0.1						
Hg	< 0.05	< 0.05						
Ni		7						
As	2.43	3						
Microbial analysis (standard limit: 10^4 /g)								
1.80×10^{2} 1.80×10^{2} Added microbial population								

Fig. 1: SEM image of magnetic Fe₃O₄ bentonite nanoparticles

Fig. 2: Energy dispersive X-ray spectrum obtained for magnetic Fe₃O₄ bentonite nanoparticles

Fig. 3: Fourier transformed infrared spectrum of magnetic Fe₃O₄ bentonite nanoparticles

Table 2: Major ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental basal diet $(\%)$

Ingredients	% Dry matter
Corn silage	38.8
Alfalfa hay	7.60
Wheat straw	3.60
Barley grain	21.0
Corn grain	12.5
Wheat bran	7.00
Soybean meal	6.75
Vitamins and minerals mixture ^a	2.50
Urea	0.25
Chemical composition $(\%$ of DM)	
Dry matter ($%$ of fresh weight)	90.00
Metabolizable energy (Mcal/kg DM)	02.67
Crude protein	15.27
Neutral detergent fiber	31.00
Non-fiber carbohydrates	43.36
Ether extract	02.95
Ash	07.42

^a Mineral and vitamin mixture (mg/kg): Vit E, 100 mg; Vit B, 10 mg; Vit B2, 20 mg; Vit A, 400,000 IU; Vit D, 100,000 IU; Ca, 30 g; P, 12 g; Na, 40 g; Cu, 1000 mg; I, 60 mg; Co, 60 mg;

maintenance (50:50 F:C ratio) (NRC, 2007) (Table 2). The collected rumen fluid was filtered via four layers of gauze and then stored in a warmed flask at 39°C. Four 100 ml syringes containing 200 mg of samples were used for each treatment. After adding the rumen fluid and artificial saliva $(1:2, w/w)$ to each syringe, the syringes closed, immediately. The syringes were incubated at a temperature of 39° C for varying durations (3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h), and the head-space gas pressure of all syringes was recorded. Similarly, four blank syringes in each run were prepared for a gas test correction. Two incubation runs were conducted for the in vitro digestibility, fermentation data, and gas production parameters (four replicates in each run). The bellow equation (Ørskov and McDonald, 1979) was used to analyze in vitro trial data.

 $Y = b(1 - e^{-ct})$

Where.

Y: Volume of gas produced at time t

b: The potential of gas production m1/200 mg DM

c: The fractional rate of gas production for b $(\%$ /h)

t: The incubation time (h)

The Fievez et al. (2005) protocol was used for measuring the methane yield (24-hour).

After the incubation period, the contents of each syringe were filtered through a Buchner funnel with a 45-micron pore-size polyester fabric. The filtered content was then poured into a pre-weighed crucible, washed with a neutral detergent solution, and dried in an oven at 60°C (Makkar, 2010). The Menke and Steingass (1988) protocol was used for the determination of in vitro DM digestibility and OM digestibility:

 $\%$ IVDMD = $(1 - wd - wb/ws) \times 100$

Where.

wd: Weight of dry sample residue wb: Weight of dry residues from blank ws: Dry weight of original sample

%IVOMD = $14.88 + 0.889$ GP + 0.45 CP + 0.0651 ash

Where,

GP: Cumulative gas production during incubation 24 h (ml/200) mg DM)

 CP : Crude protein $(\%)$

Ash: (% DM)

The pH of the culture medium was measured using a pH meter (Hana, Model HI 2210-01, USA). The Menke et al. (1979) procedure was used to determine the metabolizable energy:

ME (MJ/kg DM) = $2.20 + 0.136$ GP + 0.057 CP + 0.0029 CP²

GP: Cumulative gas production during incubation 24 h (ml/200 mg DM)

CP: Crude protein (g/kg DM)

Short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) concentrations were calculated using the equation of Makkar (2005) as $follows$

SCFA (mmol) = $0.0222 \times GP - 0.00425$

Where,

GP: Cumulative gas production during incubation 24 h (ml/200 mg DM)

The Blummel et al. (1997) method was used to measure partitioning factor (PF), microbial biomass, and microbial biomass efficiency:

PF (mg/ml): IVDMD (mg)/GP

Where.

GP: Cumulative gas production during incubation 24 h $m!/200$ mg DM)

MB (mg/g DM) = IVDMD (mg) - (GP \times 2.2)

Where.

GP: Cumulative gas production during incubation 24 h (ml/200) mg DM)

MBE $(\%)=$ [MB (mg/g DM)/IVDMD (mg)] \times 100

In vivo trial

Twenty Baluchi male lambs $[30.95 \pm 2.41 \text{ kg}$ body weight (BW), 5-6 months] were randomly assigned to four different experimental diets (n=5 per group). The dietary treatments were:

(1) Control (basal diet without supplements) (Tabel 2)

 (2) Natural bentonite (NB) (5 g/kg DM)

 (3) Processed bentonite (PB) (5 g/kg DM)

(4) Magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) (5 g/kg DM)

The diets were formulated following the NRC (2007) guidelines (50:50 forage-to-concentrate ratio). At the Torbat-e Jam animal husbandry farm, in Iran, lambs were given time to adapt to the experimental diets for 15 days before the *in vivo* trial began. After the initial period, the trial continued for a further 60 days. The lambs were kept in individual pens $(2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m})$ (Iranian Council on Animal Care, 1995). Lambs were fed with an unlimited supply of feed $(5\%$ refusals) twice daily (7 am) and 7 pm) and had free access to fresh water. Daily dry matter intake (DMI) and orts were recorded for each lamb throughout the trial. The dietary samples were dried in a forced-air oven at 65° C for 48 h and then placed in plastic bags for subsequent chemical analysis. At the beginning of the trial, the lambs were weighed just before morning feeding, and their weights were monitored every 15 days. Daily fresh fecal samples were obtained from lambs, about one h post-feeding during a 7-days (days 53-60) using the leather bags. Fecal samples were stored for each lamb at -20°C for subsequent analysis. Fecal samples were dried for 48 h in a forced-air oven (Behdad Co., Iran) set at 65°C, then ground to pass through a 1-mm screen in a Wiley mill (Arthur H. Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA), before being analyzed. The nutrient intake and excreted were used to determine nutrient digestibility. Rumen fluid was obtained on day 58, three h after the morning feeding, using an esophagus tube connected to a vacuum pump. The collected rumen fluid was filtered via four layers of cheesecloth, and the pH was measured right away using a pH meter (Hana, Model HI 2210-01, USA) and then stored at -18° C for further analysis. For NH₃-N measuring, 10 ml of the mixture was mixed with 10 ml of 0.2 N HCl and stored at -18°C. The Kjeldahl method was used to determine the NH₃-N concentration (Komolong et al., 2001). Gas chromatography [(YL6100) GC; Young Lin Instrument, Anyang, South Korea), (50 m silica-fused: 0.32 mm ID), (column: CP-Wax Chrompack Capillary Column, Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA), (internal standard: crotonic acid (trans-2-butenoic acid)), (carrier gas: helium) (Detector and injector temperatures: 250° C)] was used to separate and quantify the concentration of volatile fatty acids (VFAs). The AOAC protocol (2005) was used to determine the dry matter (method No. 930.15), ether extract (EE, method No. 991.36), ash (method No. 942.05), and crude protein (CP, Kjeldahl, N \times 6.25, method No. 954.01) concentrations. The procedure outlined by Van Soest et al. (1991) and the protocol of Ankom Technology (2006) were employed for neutral detergent fiber (NDF) determination using the Ankom fiber analyzer (ANKOM, model A2001, New York, USA).

Statistical analysis

The *in vitro* and *in vivo* data were analyzed using PROC. GLM of SAS (9.4) with the following model:

 $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$

Where

Y_{ii}: The value of each observation

μ: Overall mean

T_i: Treatment effect

e_{ii}: Experimental error

Tukey's procedure was used to measure the treatment's statistical difference (P<0.05).

Results

In vitro trial

The potential for GP and its fractional rates were significantly decreased and increased when MBNC was incorporated into the diet (P<0.01). The addition of MBNC decreased cumulative gas production during incubation times (P₂₄=0.007, P₄₈=0.002, P₇₂<0.001, and $P_{96} = 0.001$) (except gas 12 h). In comparison with the control, NB, and PB, the lowest methane yield was observed in MBNC (P<0.001) (Table 3).

The addition of MBNC increased IVDMD $(P=0.05)$ and IVOMD (P=0.01) compared to the control, NB, and PB. The pH increased $(P<0.001)$ with adding doses of MBNC. Increases in the ME and SCFA were observed by MBNC supplementations (P<0.001). Similarly, the PF, MB, and MBE $(P<0.001)$ were highest for MBNC compared to other treatments (Table 4).

In vivo trial

Except for feed conversion ratio other growth performance parameters [final BW (P<0.001), ADG $(P=0.002)$, DMI $(P<0.001)$, and nutrient digestibility (P<0.001)] increased with the addition of MBNC to the diet. The feed conversion ratio $(P=0.13)$ did not differ among treatments (Table 5).

	Treatments**							
Item [*]	Control	NB	PВ		MNBC		SEM	P-value
			Low	High	Low	High		
In vitro gas production parameters								
b gas $\text{mI}/200 \text{ mg DM}$	63.90 ^a	61.67 ^a	$63.64^{\rm a}$	63.73 ^a	56.16 ^b	57.81c	0.70	≤ 0.001
c gas (h)	0.052^{ab}	0.054^{ab}	0.051 ^a	0.056 ^b	0.066c	0.060 ^d	0.001	≤ 0.001
Cumulative gas production (ml/200 mg of DM)								
gas 12 h	29.58	29.20	31.85	31.10	28.83	29.30	0.23	0.10
gas $24h$	44.50 ^a	$44.65^{\rm a}$	44.63 ^a	45.00 ^a	40.18 ^b	40.93 ^b	0.41	0.007
gas 48 h	$56.43^{\rm a}$	54.90 ^a	$55.55^{\rm a}$	57.10^a	51.30 ^b	52.53 ^b	0.44	0.002
gas 72 h	62.88 ^a	$60.53^{\rm a}$	$61.25^{\rm a}$	$62.55^{\rm a}$	56.40 ^b	57.53 ^b	0.51	50.001
gas 96 h	65.17 ^a	63.07 ^a	63.92 ^a	$65.72^{\rm a}$	59.40 ^b	60.35^{b}	0.49	0.001
$CH_4 24 h (ml/200 g DM)$	10.69 ^a	$10.11^{\rm a}$	9.35^{b}	9.55^{ab}	4.75 ^c	5.21 ^c	0.59	≤ 0.001

Table 3: Effects of natural bentonite (NB), processed bentonite (PB), and magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) supplementation on *in vitro* gas production parameters, and methane yield

Different letters along the same row are significantly different according to the Tukey test. * b gas: Potential of gas production, c gas: Fractional rate of gas production, and ** Control (basal diet without supplements), one level of the NB (10 g/kg DM), two additional levels of the PB [5 (low) and 10 (high) g/kg DM basal diet], and two additional levels of the MBNC [5 (low) and 10 (high) g/kg DM basal diet]

Table 4: Effects of natural bentonite (NB), processed bentonite (PB), and magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) supplementation on IVDMD and IVOMD, pH, ME, SCFA, PF, MB, and MBE

	Treatments**							
Item [*]		NB	PB		MNBC		SEM	P-value
	Control		Low	High	Low	High		
IVDMD	67.61°	$68.55^{\rm a}$	$70.70^{\rm a}$	$69.75^{\rm a}$	78.90 ^b	73.90 ^b	0.26	0.05
IVOMD	$73.32^{\rm a}$	72.90 ^a	74.68 ^a	72.87 ^a	79.28 ^b	77.10 ^b	0.59	0.01
pH	6.94 ^a	6.86 ^b	7.14c	7.04 ^d	7.20^e	7.19 ^e	0.03	50.001
MЕ	9.88 ^a	9.78 ^a	9.90 ^a	9.82 ^a	9.59 ^b	10.33 ^b	0.05	50.001
SCFA	0.88 ^a	0.89 ^a	0.88^{a}	0.86 ^a	1.00 ^b	0.96 ^b	0.09	50.001
PF	2.11 ^a	2.14 ^a	2.10 ^a	2.04 ^a	2.49 ^b	2.36 ^b	0.04	50.001
MВ	96.01 ^a	97.33 ^a	95.57 ^a	$92.80^{\rm a}$	113.47 ^b	107.61 ^b	1.85	50.001
MBE	70.54°	71.92 ^a	68.94 ^b	68.62 ^b	77.08c	75.17 ^d	0.78	≤ 0.001

Different letters along the same row are significantly different according to the Tukey test. * IVDMD: In vitro dry matter digestibility (%), IVOMD: In vitro organic matter digestibility (%), ME: Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg DM), SCFA: Short-chain fatty acids (mmol), PF: Portioning factor (mg/ml), MB: Microbial biomass (mg/g DM), MBE: Microbial biomass efficiency $(\%)$, and ** Control (basal diet without supplements), one level of the NB (10 g/kg DM), two additional levels of the PB [5 (low) and 10 (high) g/kg DM basal diet], and two additional levels of the MBNC [5 (low) and 10 (high) g/kg DM basal diet]

Table 5: Effects of natural bentonite (NB), processed bentonite (PB), and magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) supplementation on growth performance, dry matter intake, and nutrient digestibility

Items [*]		Treatments**	SEM	P-value		
	Control	NM	PB	MBNC		
Initial BW (kg)	30.97	30.65	31.12	31.06	0.43	0.87
Final BW (kg)	43.06 ^a	44.90 ^b	45.88C	46.29c	0.38	50.001
Average daily gain (ADG) (kg/d)						
Day 15	0.143^a	$0.205^{\rm b}$	0.224 ^b	0.224 ^b	0.013	0.006
Day 30	0.200 ^a	0.250 ^b	0.254 ^b	0.257 ^b	0.008	0.009
Day 45	0.224 ^a	0.243^b	0.251 ^b	0.257 ^b	0.004	0.001
Day 60	0.237 ^a	0.250 ^b	0.253 ^b	0.256 ^b	0.002	0.001
Total ADG	0.201 ^a	0.237 ^b	0.246 ^b	0.253c	0.006	0.002
Total BW gain (kg)	12.09 ^a	14.35^{b}	14.76 ^b	15.22 ^b	0.380	0.002
$%$ FCR	6.67	6.34	7.03	6.63	0.190	0.13
DMI (kg/day)	1.357 ^a	1.562 ^b	1.658c	1.851 ^d	34.63	50.001
Nutrient digestibility						
DM	0.78 ^a	0.82 ^b	0.83 ^c	0.85 ^d	0.006	50.001
CP	$0.80^{\rm a}$	0.83^{b}	0.84 ^{bc}	0.86 ^c	0.005	50.001
NDF	$0.45^{\rm a}$	0.54 ^b	0.56^{bc}	0.61 ^c	0.015	50.001
OM	0.80 ^a	0.83 ^b	0.84 ^{bc}	0.86 ^c	0.005	50.001

Different letters along the same row are significantly different according to the Tukey test. * BW: Body weight, FCR: Feed conversion ratio, DMI: Dry matter intake, CP: Crude protein, NDF: Neutral detergent fiber, OM: Organic matter, and ** Control (basal diet without supplements), NB (5 g/kg DM), PB (5 g/kg DM basal diet), and MBNC (5 g/kg DM basal diet)

Items [*]		Treatments**	SEM	P-value		
	Control	NΒ	PB	MBNC		
pH	6.30 ^a	6.37 ^b	6.46 ^{cd}	6.52 ^d	0.15	≤ 0.001
$NH3-N (mg/dl)$	15.54°	14.33 ^b	13.68°	12.72 ^d	0.11	≤ 0.001
TVFA (mmol/L)	63.51°	$62.15^{\rm a}$	70.50 ^b	66.35^{b}	0.59	≤ 0.001
Acetate	40.92 ^a	39.88 ^b	42.77c	42.95°	0.20	≤ 0.001
Propionate	$12.55^{\rm a}$	13.86ь	15.12°	15.66 ^c	0.24	50.001
Butyrate	10.37	10.95	10.16	10.00	0.45	0.52
Acetate/Propionate	3.26 ^a	2.87 ^b	2.82 ^b	2.74 ^b	0.04	0.001

Table 6: Effects of natural bentonite (NB), processed bentonite (PB), and magnetic bentonite nanocomposites (MBNC) supplementation on some ruminal fermentation parameters

Different letters along the same row are significantly different according to the Tukey test. * NH₃-N: Ammonia nitrogen, TVFA: Total volatile fatty acids, and ** Control (basal diet without supplements), NB (5 g/kg DM), PB (5 g/kg DM basal diet), and MBNC (5 g/kg DM basal diet)

The ruminal pH, TVFA, acetate, and propionate significantly increased when MBNC was incorporated into the diet (P<0.01). The NH₃-N (P<0.001) was significantly decreased in MBNC. The bentonite supplementation decreased acetate to propionate $(P=0.001)$ compared to the control (Table 6).

Discussion

In vitro trial

The reduction of cumulative GP during incubation indicates that MBNC is more capable of absorbing $CO₂$ than other forms of bentonite. Our study's findings are in agreement with the findings of Chouikhi et al. (2019) and Soltan et al. (2021b), who found that the nanomontmorillonite had high $CO₂$ reversible retention capacity compared to the natural forms. This is because of increased hydrophobic surface, interlayer spacing, and the intercalation of organic cations between the -OH groups and the $CO₂$ molecules in nano-montmorillonite. Soltan et al. (2021b) reported that due to a high CEC and an increase in negative charge, the absorptive efficiency of $CO₂$ in nano-montmorillonite increased, which is in line with the findings of this study.

The *in vitro* results indicated that along with the CH₄ reduction. IVDMD and IVOMD increased. Other researchers' findings have indicated that bentonite supplementation may improve IVDMD and IVOMD (Khalifeh et al., 2012; Alhaisheh, 2015; Costa et al., 2019). Therefore, supplementing MBNC may alter the ruminal fermentation model towards a decrease in CH₄ with no adverse effects on DM and OM digestibility (El-Nile et al., 2021). Increasing the digestibility of OM in the rumen promotes H⁺ production. The hydrogen is used in the $CO₂$ to $CH₄$ reduction process by ruminal microorganisms (Soltan et al., 2013; Patra et al., 2017). Hence, the lower $CH₄$ yield in the MBNC treatments may be associated with capturing both $CO₂$ and H⁺. Moreover, increases in pH caused by MBNC supplementation imply the significant role of MBNC in optimizing the ruminal conditions for the cellulolytic bacteria, increasing OM digestibility, and preventing ruminal acidosis, efficiently (El-Nile et al., 2021; Soltan et al., 2021b).

Due to the high capacity of H⁺ exchange, bentonite may act as an alkalinizer to increase ruminal pH (Stephenson et al., 1992). In line with our study, Soltan et al. (2021b) reported that nano-montmorillonite had the top CEC values compared with the other diets. The high CEC of nano-montmorillonite can improve the clay activity compared with its natural form (Xue et al., 2007; Soltan et al., 2021b).

The *in vitro* trial showed that the MBNC increased SCFA concentration compared to other treatments. These results might be due to the shifting of the fermentation process from CH₄ production toward synthesizing SCFAs (El-Nile et al., 2021). In this study, the declared increase in PF may be due to the capture of H⁺, increased ruminal pH, and enhanced ruminal microbial activity associated with the CH₄ reduction and supply of adequate ATP as SCFAs for more microbial protein synthesis (Morsy et al., 2021; Soltan et al., 2021a). The increase in ruminal pH can lead to the enhancement of protein solubility and can affect the production of branched-chain fatty acids (BCFAs) (Apajalahti et al., 2019; Ramos et al., 2021). Therefore, in the present study, it seems that the activity of bentonite is more efficient in the MBNC than the other forms, and produced BCFAs can be used for more microbial protein mass (Liu et al., 2021; Soltan et al., 2021c).

In vivo trial

Consistent with our findings, Al-Dbaisi (2019) reported that bentonite (2 and 4%) increased total body weight gain (BWG) compared with the control. Similarly, Muhammad et al. (2018) observed an enhancement in total BWG and nutrient digestibility following the supplementation of 20 \mathbf{g} bentonite/lamb/day. This enchantment can be attributed to the improvement in ruminal pH via adding bentonite, maintaining the amount of water inside the gut, and reducing ammonia toxicity (Aazami et al., 2017). Additionally, stimulating and growing cellulolytic bacteria via eliminating or reducing the side effects of mycotoxins and improving the rumen environment can increase organic matter degradation and nutrient utilization (EFSA, 2011). Large effective surfaces in nanoclays might increase chemical catalysis due to a larger area of mass compared to volume (Hamadi et al., 2015). This is why supplemented MBNC is superior compared to the other groups. Moreover, nanomaterials display distinct size-dependent mechanical properties compared to general materials (Wu et al., 2020).

In some experiments, the pH enhanced for both natural and nanoclay forms (El-Nile et al., 2021; Soltan et al., 2021b), which agrees with our study. Natural bentonite, a 2:1 phyllosilicate clay, possesses a crystal lattice structure consisting of an alumina octahedral sheet situated between two silica tetrahedral sheets. The space between its layers holds water molecules and inorganic cations. So, compared to other 1:1 phyllosilicate clay, natural bentonite is a 2:1 with a high CEC and surface area (Magaña et al., 2008). Increased pH values caused by bentonite addition may be due to the high CEC of bentonite forms to improve ruminal fermentation. However, interlayer collapse due to manipulation of the natural bentonite structure can influence the swelling capacity and surface charge (Magaña et al., 2008). The higher CEC of MBNC could supply optimized conditions for the incorporation of metal hydrolysates and ions into its interlayer space (Xue et al., 2007). Therefore, MBNC was the most effective treatment for increasing pH.

In the current study, lower $NH₃-N$ concentration in the MBNC group was agreed with El-Nile et al. (2021) and Soltan et al. (2021b), who found a lower NH₃-N concentration in nanoclay forms. It can be suggested that the ability of bentonite forms as cation exchangers to retain and exchange the saliva ammonium ion might be the reason for the decreased $NH₃-N$ concentration in the rumen (El-Nile et al., 2021). However, in comparison with the control treatment, the nano-bentonite forms show greater CEC (Soltan et al., 2021b). Based on previous studies (Sweeney et al., 1984; Marden et al., 2008), a stable ruminal pH creates a more favorable environment for increased microbial growth. In this study, the decrease in NH₃-N concentration that was observed may be attributed to the stable rumen pH within the normal range, which is linked to the availability of energy (as SCFAs production) and nitrogen (as sufficient $NH₃-N$ concentration) for more microbial protein synthesis (El-Nile et al., 2021).

The VFA concentration depended on DMI, nutrient digestibility, and substrate availability for ruminal fermentation (Firkins et al., 1986; Robinson et al., 1986). The findings of the *in vivo* trial indicated that the addition of MBNC to the diet increased the concentration of propionate. Moreover, the observed results show a decrease in the acetate-to-propionate ratio. This decrease may be the result of a change in the pattern of SCFA production from acetate to greater production of propionate. This change could explain why the fermentation process occurred more efficiently. It's possible that ruminal microbes used more hydrogen ions (H+) to synthesize SCFAs, particularly propionate (El-Nile et al., 2021). The VFAs pattern indicates that nanobentonite has a different effect on rumen fermentation than its natural form. These findings support our initial hypothesis that modifying bentonite structure to nanocomposite form improves chemical stability, physicochemical properties, and efficiency as feed additives.

In conclusion, magnetic bentonite nanocomposite (MBNC) as a dietary supplement had useful effects on the fermentation pattern, nutrient digestibility, and performance of Baluchi male lambs. These findings show that MBNC at the level of 5 g/kg can be used as a useful supplement to optimize rumen fermentation patterns, reduce methane production, and increase lamb performance.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Torbat-e Jam, and Vivan Company for providing the financial and technical support for this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there was no conflict of interest

References

- Aazami, MH; Tahmasbi, AM; Forouhar, V and Naserian, AA (2017). Effects of sodium bentonite on blood parameters feed digestibility and rumen fermentation parameters of male Baluchi sheep fed diet contaminated by diazinon, an organophosphate Pesticide, Iran, J. Appl. Anim. Sci., 7: 421-428.
- Al-Dbaisi, A (2019). The effect of adding different proportions of bentonite mineral clay on the performance of Arabian lambs. Master Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Basra.
- Alhaisheh, MSM (2015). Yeast and microbial environments as food additives for ruminants, Civilized Dialogue - Issue: 1283-8/11/2015, Axis: Medicine Science. Location Good Agriculture. 1283: 2-14.
- Al-Sudani, HMK and Al-Rubii, AMS (2021). The effect of nano bentonite supplementation in some carcass characteristics of Awassi lambs. Sys. Rev. Pharm., 12: 1103-1113.
- Ankom Technology (2006). Neutral Detergent Fiber in Feedsfilter Bag Technique. https://www.ankom.com/sites/ default/files/document files/Method 6 NDF A200.pdf.
- AOAC (2005). Official methods of analysis. 18th Edn., AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- Apajalahti, J; Vienola, K; Raatikainen, K; Holder, V and Moran, CA (2019). Conversion of branched-chain amino acids to corresponding isoacids-An in vitro tool for estimating ruminal protein degradability. Front. Vet. Sci., $6:311.$
- Bama, K and Sundrarajan, M (2017). Ag/TiO2/bentonite nanocomposite for biological applications: Synthesis, characterization, antibacterial and cytotoxic investigations. Adv. Powder. Technol., 28: 2265-2280.
- Banat, F; Al-Asheh, S and Abu-Aitah, L (2002). Examination of the effectiveness of physical and chemical

activation of natural bentonite for the removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions. Adsorpt. Sci. Technol., 20: 151-167.

- Blummel, M: Makkar, HPS and Becker, K (1997). In vitro gas production: a technique revisited. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 77: 24-34.
- Chouikhi, N; Cecilia, JA; Vilarrasa-García, E; Besghaier, S; Chlendi, M; Duro, FIF; Castellon, ER and Bagane, M (2019). CO₂ adsorption of materials synthesized from clay minerals: A Review. Minerals. 9: 514.
- **Connor, E** (2015). Invited review: Improving feed efficiency in dairy production: Challenges and possibilities. Animal. $9:395-408$
- Costa, ECB; Araújo, GGL; Oliveira, J; Santos, EM; Henriques, LT; Perazzo, AF; Zanine, AM; Pereira, GA and Pinho, RMA (2019). Effect of salt concentrations on in vitro rumen fermentation of cellulose, starch, and protein. South Afr. J. Anim. Sci., 49: 1139-1147.
- Degtyareva, IA; Ezhkova, AM; Yapparov, AK; Yapparov, IA; Ezhkov, VO; Babynin, EV; Davletshina, AY; Motina, TY and Yapparov, DA (2016). Production of nano-bentonite and the study of its effect on mutagenesis in bacteria Salmonella typhimurium. Nanotechnol Russ., 11: 663-670
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed) (2011). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of bentonite (dioctahedral montmorillonite as a feed additive for all species. EFSA Journal. 9: 2007.
- El-Nile, A: Elazab, M: El-Zaiat, H: El-Azrak, KE: Elkomy, A; Sallam, S and Soltan, Y (2021). In vitro and in vivo assessment of dietary supplementation of both natural or nano-zeolite in goat diets: Effects on ruminal fermentation and nutrient digestibility. Animals. 11: 2215.
- Fievez, V; Babayemi, OJ and Demeyer, D (2005). Estimation of direct and indirect gas production in syringes: a tool to estimate short chain fatty acid production that requires minimal laboratory facilities. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 123: 197-210.
- Firkins, JL; Berger, LL; Merchen, NR and Fahez, Jr (1986). Effects of forage particle size, level of feed intake and supplemental protein degradability on microbial protein synthesis and site of nutrient digestion in steers. J. Anim. Sci., 62: 1081-1094.
- Grabherr, H; Spolders, M; Furll, M and Flachwosky, G (2009). Effect of several doses of zeolite A on feed intake, energy metabolism and on mineral metabolism in dairy cows around calving. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 93: 221-236.
- Hamadi, AS; Riyadh, MN; Thamera, K; Ghassan, R and Maan, A (2015). Beneficiation pretreatment and chemical activation method for preparation and characterization of nano structure bentonite. Eng. Technol., 33: 1683-1692.
- Heydari, S; Zare, L and Ghiasi, H (2019). Plackett-Burman experimental design for the removal of diazinon pesticide from aqueous system by magnetic bentonite nanocomposites. J. Appl. Res. Water Wastewater. 11: 45-50
- Huang, Z; Li, Y; Chen, W; Shi, J; Zhang, N; Wang, X; Li, Z; Gao, L and Zhang, Y (2017). Modified bentonite adsorption of organic pollutants of dye wastewater. Mater. Chem. Phys., 202: 266-276.
- Iranian Council of Animal Care (1995). Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. First Vol., Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- Khalifeh, MJ; Mohammadabadi, T; Chaji, M; Salari, S and Khalil, M (2012). The effect of different levels of sodium

bentonite on *in vitro* fermentation and digestibility of soybean meal. Proceedings of the 15th AAAP Animal Science Congress. Thammasat University, Rangsit Campus, Thailand. PP: 3133-3135.

- Komolong, MK; Barber, DG and McNeill, DM (2001). Postruminal protein supply and N retention of weaner sheep fed on a basal diet of lucerne hay (Medicago sativa) with increasing levels of quebracho tannins. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 92: 59-72.
- Liu, Q; Wang, C; Huang, YX; Dong, KH; Yang, WZ; Zhangand, SL and Wang, H (2009). Effects of isovalerate on ruminal fermentation, urinary excretion of purine derivatives and digestibility in steers. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 93: 716-725.
- Magaña, SM; Quintana, P; Aguilar, DH; Toledo, A; Ángeles-Chávez, C; Cortés, MA; León, L; Freile-Pelegrín, Y; López, T and Torres Sánchez, RM (2008). Antibacterial activity of montmorillonites modified with silver. J. Mol. Catal. A Chem., 281: 192-199.
- Mahadevan, H; Dev, VV; Krishnan, KA; Abraham, A and Ershana, OC (2018). Optimization of retention of phosphate species onto a novel bentonite-alum adsorbent system. Environ. Technol. Innov., 9: 1-15.
- Maki, CR; Haney, S; Wang, M; Ward, S and Bailey, RH (2017). Calcium montmorillonite clay for the reduction of aflatoxin residues in milk and dairy products. Dairy Vet. Sci. J., 2: 555-587.
- Makkar, HPS (2005). In vitro gas methods for evaluation of feeds containing phytochemicals. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 124: 291-303.
- Makkar, HPS (2010). In vitro screening of feed resources for efficiency of microbial protein synthesis. In: In vitro screening of plant resources for extra-nutritional attributes in ruminants, nuclear and related methodologies. New York, USA, Springer. PP: 106-144.
- Marden, JP; Julien, C; Monteils, V; Auclair, E; Moncoulon, R and Bayourthe, C (2008). How does live yeast differ from sodium bicarbonate to stabilize ruminal pH in high-yielding dairy cows? J. Dairy Sci., 91: 3528-3535.
- Martinez, JM; Volzone, C and Garrido, LB (2017). Evaluation of polymeric Al-modified bentonite for its potential application as ceramic coating. Appl. Clay Sci., 149: 20-27.
- Maryan, AS and Montazer, M (2015). Natural and organomontmorillonite as antibacterial nanoclays for cotton garment. J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 22: 164-170.
- Menke, KH; Raab, L; Salewski, A; Steingass, H; Fritz, D and Schneider, W (1979). The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor in vitro. J. Agric. Sci., 92: 217-222.
- Menke, KH and Steingass, H (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. Anim. Res. Dev., $28:7-55$
- Mohammed, MA and Yassin, MS (2018). The effect of using dry yeast and bentonite as food additives on growth and some characteristics of lamb's carcasses. Iraqi J. Agric. Sci., 46: 17-32.
- Morsy, AS; Soltan, YA; El-Zaiat, HM; Alencar, SM and Abdalla, AL (2021). Bee propolis extract as a phytogenic feed additive to enhance diet digestibility, rumen microbial biosynthesis, mitigating methane formation and health status of late pregnant ewes. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 273: 114834
- NRC (2007). Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: Sheep,

goats, cervids, and new world camelids. Washington, D.C., National Academic Press.

- **Ørskov, ER and McDonald, I** (1979). The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. J. Agric. Sci., 92: 499-503.
- Pandey, S (2017). A comprehensive review on recent developments in bentonite-based materials used as adsorbents for waste water treatment. J. Mol. Liq., 241: 1091-1113.
- **Patra, A; Park, T; Kim, M and Yu, Z** (2017). Rumen methanogens and mitigation of methane emission by antimethanogenic compounds and substances. J. Anim. Sci., Biotechnol., 8:13.
- **Ramos, SC; Jeong, CD; Mamuad, LL; Kim, SH; Son, AR; Miguel, MA; Islam, M; Cho, YI and Lee, SS** (2021). Enhanced ruminal fermentation parameters and altered rumen bacterial community composition by formulated rumen buffer agents fed to dairy cows with a highconcentrate diet. Agriculture. 11: 554.
- **Robinson, PH; Taminga, S and Van Vuuren, AM** (1986). Influence of declining level of feed intake and varying the proportion of starch in the concentrate on rumen fermentation in dairy cows. Livest. Prod. Sci., 15: 173-189.
- **Soltan, YA; Abdalla, AL; Silva, LRF; Natel, AS; Morsy, AS and Louvandini, H** (2013). Response of different tropical pasture grass species to treatment with fibrolytic enzymes in terms of *in vitro* ruminal nutrient degradation and methanogenesis. Anim. Nutr. Feed Technol., 13: 551-568.
- **Soltan, YA; Adibe Filho, AA; Abdalla, A; Berenchtein, B;** Schiavinatto, P and Costa, C (2021a). Replacing maize with low tannin sorghum grains: Lamb growth performance, microbial protein synthesis and enteric methane production. Anim. Prod. Sci., 61: 1348-1355.
- **Soltan, YA; Morsy, AS; Hashem, NM; Elazab, M; Sultan, M; Marey, H; Abo El Lail, G; El-Desoky, N; Hosny, N; Mahdy, A; Hafez, E and Sallam, S** (2021b). Modified

nano-montmorillonite and monensin modulate *in vitro* ruminal fermentation, nutrient degradability, methanogenesis differently. Animals. 11: 3005-3020.

- **Soltan, YA; Morsy, AS; Hashem, NM and Sallam, SM** (2021c). Boswellia sacra resin as a phytogenic feed supplement to enhance ruminal fermentation, milk yield, and metabolic energy status of early lactating goats. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 277: 114963.
- **Stephenson, RG; Huff, JF and Hooks, G** (1992). Effects of molasses, sodium Bentonite and zeolite on urea toxicity. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 43: 301-310.
- **Sulaymon, AH; Mohammed, AA and Al-Musawi, TJ** (2014). Comparative study of removal of cadmium (II) and chromium (III) ions from aqueous solution using low-cost biosorbent. Int. J. Chem. React. Eng., 12: 1-10.
- **Sweeney, TF; Cervantes, A; Bull, LS and Hemken, RW** (1984). Zeo-agriculture. In: Pond, WG and Mumpton, FA (Eds.), *Use of natural zeolites in agriculture and aquaculture*. Boulder, CO, USA, Westview Press Inc., P: 183.
- **Tate, K; Yuan, G; Theng, B; Churchman, G; Singh, J and Berben, P** (2015). Can geophagy mitigate enteric methane emissions from cattle. J. Prelim. Res., 2: 1-8.
- **Van Soest, PJ; Robertson, JB and Lewis, BA** (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. 74: 3583-3597.
- **Wu, Q; Miao, W; Gao, H and Hui, D** (2020). Mechanical properties of nanomaterials: a review. Nanotechnol. Rev., 9: 259-273.
- **Xue, W; He, H; Zhu, J and Yuan, P** (2007). FTIR investigation of CTAB-Al-montmorillonite complexes. Spectrochim. Acta Part A., 67: 1030-1036.