Shiraz University

IJVR

ISSN: 1728-1997 (Print)
ISSN: 2252-0589 (Online)

Vol. 24 No. 3 Ser. No. 84 2023

# IRANIAN JOURNAL OF VETERINARY RESEARCH

**Original Article**

# Evidence-based identification and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from subclinical mastitis in dairy buffaloes of Pakistan

**Ijaz, M.[1*]; Javed, M. U.[2]; Ahmed, A.[2]; Rasheed, H.[3]; Shah, S. F. A.[3] and Ali, M.[1]**

[1]Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan; [2]Ph.D. Student in Veterinary Medicine, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan; [3]MSc Student in Veterinary Medicine, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

[*]***Correspondence:*** *M. Ijaz, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan. E-mail: mijaz@uvas.edu.pk*

10.22099/IJVR.2023.46970.6755

(Received 6 Mar 2023; revised version 12 Aug 2023; accepted 26 Aug 2023)



## Abstract

**Background:** Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), affecting livestock and human beings, has become a global public health hazard with economic consequences. **Aims:** The current study was designed to investigate the prevailing MRSA-associated subclinical mastitis and associated risk factors in dairy buffaloes. The study also highlighted the genetic variations and in silico-based proteomic differences among MRSA isolates. **Methods:** Out of 516 milk samples, 45.93% (237/516) were found positive for subclinical mastitis, while the prevalence of *S. aureus* was recorded 56.12%. The methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* isolates was evaluated by oxacillin disc diffusion test and molecular identification of the *mecA* gene. **Results:** The results revealed a phenotypic and molecular prevalence of MRSA at 45.11% and 18.79%, respectively. The risk factor analysis revealed that among various assumed risk factors, parity, milking hygiene, milker care during milking, milk yield, housing system, and floor type were significantly associated with subclinical mastitis in buffaloes. The sequencing and phylogenetic analysis showed no significant genetic variations among study isolates and depicted a high similarity with isolates from Africa, USA, India, Italy, Turkey, and Iran. The in-silico protein analysis showed that all sequences had the same protein motifs resembling penicillin protein 2a except Buff-13, whose protein structure resembles alpha-catenin-like protein hmp-1. **Conclusion:** The current study was the first report of the genotypic characterization and in silico protein analysis of MRSA from dairy buffaloes in Pakistan. The result highlighted the importance of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and development of control strategies against MRSA infections.

**Key words:** Antimicrobial resistance, Buffaloes, Mastitis, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Phylogenetic analysis

## Introduction

Buffaloes are considered dairy animals in the 21st century because of their higher adaptability and productivity in changing climatic conditions. Nili-Ravi buffalo breed in South Asia is well known for its high milk production, supporting small farmers and entrepreneurs (Siddiky and Faruque, 2018). Buffalo milk is valued for its better nutritional content as compared to cattle (Fagiolo and Lai, 2007) and use in value-added products (Locatelli *et al*., 2013). Although buffaloes are considered resistant to numerous tropical diseases prevailing in South Asia, there is a need to improve the production of high-quality buffalo milk through better management and control of diseases affecting its production such as mastitis (Guimarães *et al*., 2017).

*Staphylococcus aureus* is the main causative agent of mastitis in buffaloes in Asia (Wang *et al*., 2015). Antibiotics are used to treat mastitis in dairy herds (Haran *et al*., 2012). Antibiotic resistance in *S. aureus* has become a serious zoonotic concern throughout the globe. Lack of effective medicine against this pathogen and the excessive use of β-lactam antibiotics lead to rising resistance in *S. aureus* strains (Gao *et al*., 2012). The methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is developed by the acquisition of the staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC) *mec*A gene that encodes penicillin-binding protein 2a (PBP2a), resulting in loss of the affinity for all β-lactam antibiotics (Cuny *et al*., 2015). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has a zoonotic potential and can be transmitted from infected bovine milk and environment to the animal handlers and veterinarians (Juhász-Kaszanyitzky *et al*., 2007).

The indiscriminate use of antibiotics in animal production is believed the reason of MRSA increase (Tenhagen *et al*., 2018). Overcrowding on farms and

intensive animal trade can promote the rapid spread of MRSA among farm animals (Guo *et al*., 2018). Recent studies have also shown that LA-MRSA can colonize multiple animals and associated workers (Rinsky *et al*., 2013). There are also major concerns about the treatment of mastitis caused by MRSA because MRSA is not only resistant to β-lactams, but also against other antibiotics as well (Muzammil *et al*., 2022).

As a developing country, antibiotic resistance has become an emerging issue not only in Pakistan but also for the entire human-animal population throughout the world (Ali *et al*., 2018). In Pakistan, a 38% prevalence of MRSA has been reported in buffaloes (Aqib *et al*., 2017). Weak monitoring of infections and improper and indiscriminate use of antibiotics for humans and animals have contributed to MRSA development (Lakhundi and Zhang, 2018). Moreover, the sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of MRSA reveals genetic variation in the nucleic acid pattern, elucidating the transmission chains and pathogen reservoirs (Harris *et al*., 2010). In silico subtractive genome analysis is also a reliable method used to identify specific genes, which provide information for a set of proteins essential for the pathogen to develop a unique phenotype character responsible for resistance in *S. aureus* (Hasan *et al*., 2016). Therefore, the current study was planned to investigate the phenotypic and genotypic prevalence as well as the phylogenetic and protein analysis of MRSA associated-subclinical mastitis in buffaloes of Pakistan.

## Materials and Methods

### Collection of milk samples

A cross-sectional study was designed to collect 516 milk samples from buffaloes in different tehsils of 3 districts (Multan, Rahim Yar Khan, and Bahawalpur) of Pakistan (Fig. 1) using previous guideline (Thrusfield, 2007). During the collection of milk samples, a questionnaire regarding various risk factors (using teat dipping, feeding system, housing system, parity, physiological status, milk yield, milk sample, hygiene during milking, and floor type) was filled out to find out the association of various risk factors with the occurrence of subclinical mastitis. The sampling was done according to the standard protocols of the National Mastitis Council. The milk samples were screened for subclinical mastitis by surf field mastitis test (Javed *et al*., 2021). All the milk samples were kept at 4°C in ice packs and immediately transferred to the laboratory for further processing.




**Fig. 1:** GIS map of the study districts

### Culturing of milk samples for *S. aureus*

SCM-positive milk samples were initially swabbed on 5% sheep blood agar. The colonies were further streaked on Mannitol salt agar for specific growth of *S. aureus* (Ghumman *et al*., 2022; Javed *et al*., 2023; Rasheed *et al*., 2023). The characteristic colonies of *S. aureus* were confirmed through Gram-staining for typical morphological characteristics of *S. aureus* followed by various biochemical tests such as catalase and coagulase tests (Aqib *et al*., 2018; Ahmed *et al*., 2022).

### Phenotypic identification of MRSA

MRSA identification was carried out by placing Oxacillin discs (1 µg) on activated growth (0.5 McFarland) of *S. aureus* on Mueller Hinton agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h (Muzammil *et al*., 2022). The zone of inhibition around Oxacillin discs was measured and compared with the standard provided by (CLSI, 2019).

### Genotypic identification of MRSA by targeting *mecA* gene

Bacterial DNA was extracted from colonies on Muller Hinton agar using a DNA extraction kit, Thermoscientific (Sabir *et al*., 2023). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was then carried out by targeting the *mecA* gene of MRSA using previously reported primers (Forward:5´-TGG CAT TCG TGT CAC AAT CG-3´, and Reverse: 5´-CTG GAA CTT GTT GAG CAG AG-3´) (Galdiero *et al*., 2003). Initial denaturation was done (5 min at 95°C), followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (95°C for 30 s), annealing (58°C for 30 s), and extension (72°C for 30 s). The final extension was done for 8 min at 72°C. The amplified PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel using a 100 bp ladder under UV light illuminators. Amplicons with 310 bp size were considered positive.

### Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Positive PCR products were excided from the agarose gel and purified using a gel purification kit (Gene All® Cat# 102-102, Lot: 10216B12009) following the manufacturer's instructions. The purified PCR products were then sent to 1st base biological technology, Singapore, for sequencing. The nucleotide sequences were initially analyzed using the BLAST search tool, aligned by Clustal W method using BioEdit software, and compared with reported sequences of *mecA* gene from GenBank database. Moreover, the similarities of current isolates were further explored by constructing a phylogenetic tree using neighborhood-joining methods on MEGA-X software.

### Protein and motif analysis for PBP2a

Nucleic acid and protein alignment were done using Clustal Omega software. MEME Suit was used for the construction of nucleic acid and protein motifs. Gene structure was determined using a gene structure display server. Protein-conserved domains were predicted by the conserved domains architecture retrieval tool, the 3D structure of the protein was constructed by Swiss model software. Protein-protein interaction was found using STRING and the physical properties of proteins were calculated by ProtParam tool.

### Statistical analysis

The relationship between various assumed risk factors with the occurrence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes was analyzed statistically by univariate analysis. The variables were first tested by Chi-square test at significance level of $P<0.05$, at 95% confidence interval and 5% probability. The variables showing $P<0.2$ were further analyzed by logistic regression model to check potentially associated risk factors (Javed *et al*., 2021). Variables initially producing $P<0.2$ were further checked by multivariate analysis using the Logistic regression model on statistical software R.

## Results

### Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and *S. aureus*

The study showed 45.93% (237/516) prevalence of subclinical mastitis. Subclinical mastitis was higher in district Bahawalpur (51.16%) compared to 50.58% and 36.04% in district Rahim Yar Khan and Multan, respectively. The overall prevalence of subclinical mastitis caused by *S. aureus* was 56.12% (133/237) in buffaloes. A higher rate of occurrence of *S. aureus* was observed in district Rahim Yar Khan (67.81%) followed by 52.27% in district Bahawalpur and 45.16% in district Multan. The prevalence of *S. aureus* in district Rahim Yar Khan was also significantly ($P<0.0001$) associated with occurrence of sub-clinical mastitis infection in buffaloes compared to district Bahawalpur ($P=0.766$), and Multan ($P=0.062$) (Table 1).

### Phenotypic and genotypic prevalence of MRSA

A total of 60 isolates of *S. aureus* from buffaloes phenotypically presented resistance to Oxacillin and exhibited a phenotypic prevalence of 45.11% (60/133) in buffaloes. MRSA phenotypic prevalence was higher in district Bahawalpur (47.82%) compared to 46.42% and 42.37% in districts Multan and Rahim Yar Khan, respectively. The PCR has detected the *mecA* gene in 25 isolates of *S. aureus*, showing the genotypic prevalence of MRSA at 18.79% (25/133). A higher genotypic prevalence of MRSA was observed in district Bahawalpur (19.56%) followed by district Rahim Yar Khan (18.64%) and district Multan (17.85%). The prevalence of MRSA infection in buffaloes was significantly associated with subclinical infection in all study districts like Rahim Yar Khan ($P=0.003$), Multan ($P=0.001$), and Bahawalpur ($P=0.003$) (Table 1).

### Risk factors analysis

In univariate analysis, the parity of animals, milker care during milking, hygiene during milking, milk yield, milk sample, use of teat dips, floor type, and housing type were significantly associated ($P<0.05$) with the

occurrence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes (Table 2).

**Table 1:** Prevalence of *S. aureus* and MRSA in dairy buffaloes

| Name of district | Tehsils | No. of samples | SFMT N (%) | *S. aureus* | | MRSA | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | N (%) | P-value | Phenotypic | Genotypic | P-value |
| Multan | Multan city | 43 | 13/43 (30.23) | 06/13 (46.15) | 0.062 | 02/06 (33.33) | 01/06 (16.67) | 0.003* |
| | Multan Saddar | 43 | 09/43 (20.93) | 02/09 (22.22) | | 00/02 (00.00) | 00/02 (00.00) | |
| | Shujabad | 43 | 22/43 (51.16) | 13/22 (59.09) | | 08/13 (61.53) | 03/13 (23.07) | |
| | Jalalpur Pirwala | 43 | 18/43 (41.86) | 07/18 (38.89) | | 03/07 (42.86) | 01/07 (14.29) | |
| Total | - | 172 | 62/172 (36.04) | 28/62 (45.16) | | 13/28 (46.42) | 05/28 (17.85) | |
| RYK | Khanpur | 43 | 28/43 (65.11) | 21/28 (75.00) | <0.001* | 11/21 (52.38) | 05/21 (23.80) | 0.001* |
| | Liaqatpur | 43 | 17/43 (39.53) | 13/17 (76.47) | | 05/13 (38.46) | 03/13 (23.07) | |
| | Sadiqabad | 43 | 19/43 (44.18) | 09/19 (47.36) | | 02/09 (22.23) | 01/09 (11.12) | |
| | RYK | 43 | 23/43 (53.48) | 16/23 (69.56) | | 07/16 (43.75) | 02/16 (12.50) | |
| Total | - | 172 | 87/172 (50.58) | 59/87 (67.81) | | 25/59 (42.37) | 11/59 (18.64) | |
| BWP | Ahmed Pur | 43 | 24/43 (55.81) | 13/24 (54.16) | 0.766 | 09/13 (69.23) | 04/13 (30.76) | 0.003* |
| | Hasilpur | 43 | 29/43 (67.44) | 15/29 (51.72) | | 07/15 (46.67) | 03/15 (20.00) | |
| | Khairpur | 43 | 18/43 (41.86) | 10/18 (55.56) | | 04/10 (40.00) | 01/10 (10.00) | |
| | Yazman | 43 | 17/43 (39.53) | 08/17 (47.05) | | 02/08 (25.00) | 01/08 (12.50) | |
| Total | - | 172 | 88/172 (51.16) | 46/88 (52.27) | | 22/46 (47.82) | 09/46 (19.56) | |
| Overall | - | 516 | 237/516 (45.93) | 133/237 (56.12) | | 60/133 (45.11) | 25/133 (18.79) | |

P-value<0.05 shows significant effect

**Table 2:** Variables included in the questionnaire for subclinical mastitis in buffaloes

| Variable | Variable levels | Total Samples | Positive (%) | Negative (%) | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Parity | 1st | 81 | 31 (38.3) | 50 (61.7) | 0.001* |
| | 2nd | 154 | 61 (39.6) | 93 (60.4) | |
| | 3rd | 162 | 71 (43.8) | 91 (56.2) | |
| | >3rd | 119 | 74 (62.2) | 45 (37.8) | |
| Physiological status | Lactating | 422 | 198 (46.9) | 224 (53.1) | 0.33 |
| | Dry | 94 | 39 (41.5) | 55 (58.5) | |
| No. of milking | Twice | 426 | 198 (46.5) | 228 (53.5) | 0.58 |
| | Thrice | 90 | 39 (43.3) | 51 (56.7) | |
| Milker care during milking | Good | 218 | 69 (31.7) | 149 (68.3) | 0.000* |
| | Poor | 298 | 168 (56.4) | 130 (43.6) | |
| Hygiene during milking | Yes | 192 | 62 (32.3) | 130 (67.7) | 0.000* |
| | No | 324 | 175 (54.0) | 149 (45.9) | |
| Milk yield | Low | 113 | 38 (33.6) | 75 (66.4) | 0.002* |
| | High | 403 | 199 (49.4) | 204 (50.6) | |
| Use of teat dips | Yes | 87 | 27 (31.0) | 60 (68.9) | 0.002* |
| | No | 429 | 210 (49.0) | 219 (51.0) | |
| Milk sample | Raw milk | 103 | 63 (61.2) | 40 (38.8) | 0.001* |
| | Bulk tank milk | 413 | 174 (42.1) | 239 (57.9) | |
| Body condition | Normal | 447 | 207 (46.3) | 240 (53.7) | 0.89 |
| | Thin | 50 | 22 (44.0) | 28 (56.0) | |
| | Emaciated | 19 | 8 (42.1) | 11 (57.9) | |
| Feed and water | Well-fed | 480 | 222 (46.2) | 258 (53.8) | 0.59 |
| | Underfed | 36 | 15 (41.7) | 21 (58.3) | |
| Feeding system | Stall feeding | 216 | 104 (48.1) | 112 (51.9) | 0.46 |
| | Grazing | 103 | 42 (40.8) | 61 (59.2) | |
| | Grazing + stall feeding | 197 | 91 (46.2) | 106 (53.8) | |
| Housing system | Conventional | 301 | 154 (51.2) | 147 (48.8) | 0.005* |
| | Commercial | 215 | 83 (38.6) | 132 (61.4) | |
| Floor-type | Concrete | 209 | 79 (37.8) | 130 (62.2) | 0.000* |
| | Earthen | 263 | 125 (47.5) | 138 (52.5) | |
| | Mud | 44 | 33 (75.0) | 11 (25.0) | |

P-value<0.05 shows significant effect

Eight variables initially produced P<0.2 in univariate analysis (Table 2) were included in the multivariable regression model (Table 3). The final model comprised six statistically significant variables with an odds ratio greater than 1 (Fig. 2). The odds of subclinical mastitis in animals with 1st parity were 1.33 times more than in the 2nd, 3rd, and >3rd parity animals. Similarly, milker's hygiene during milking was significantly (P<0.05) associated with the occurrence of subclinical mastitis, as the animals with good milker care had 1.81 times lower chances of suffering from subclinical mastitis than those with poor milker care. Floor-type is also considered a significant risk factor for disease occurrence as the animals living in earthen and mud places have less chance 0.64 and 0.31 times, respectively of acquiring disease compared to animals living on concrete surfaces. Hygiene during milking was significantly associated with animals; hygiene during milking had 0.59 times less risk of subclinical mastitis than those with no hygiene maintained during milking. The odds of mastitis in animals with teat dipping practice before milking had 0.49 times fewer chances to disease compared to animals without teat dipping before milking. Milk sampled from bulk milk tank had 1.81 times more chance of the disease occurrence than the raw milk samples. Similarly, the housing system was also significantly associated with the risk of subclinical mastitis; conventional housing had 1.33 times more risk of getting mastitis than animals kept in the commercial housing systems (Table 3).

## Molecular characterization of MRSA

The PCR products of locally identified isolates were sequenced for the partial fragment (310 bp) of the *mecA* gene of MRSA. Current study MRSA isolates sequences are available online on NCBI (National Centre for Biotechnology Information) having the following accession No. MZ814969, MZ814970, MZ814971, and MZ814972. The BLAST search of local study isolates revealed high similarity with already reported *mecA* sequences from the NCBI database. The Clustal W multiple alignments showed high similarity of the




**Fig. 2:** Risk factors associated with the occurrence of mastitis in dairy buffaloes

**Table 3:** Key risk factors associated with the occurrence of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes

| Variables | Variable levels | Odd ratio | 95% C.I. | S.E. | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Lower-Upper | | |
| Parity | >3rd | 0.44 | 0.26-0.74 | 0.297 | 0.002 |
| | 3rd | 0.86 | 0.53-1.39 | 0.251 | 0.541 |
| | 1st | 1.33 | 0.72-2.35 | 0.247 | 0.379 |
| | 2nd | 1 | | | |
| Floor type | Earthen | 0.64 | 0.43-0.96 | 0.376 | 0.029 |
| | Mud | 0.31 | 0.14-0.68 | 0.369 | 0.003 |
| | Concrete | 1 | | | |
| Milker care during milking | Good | 1.81 | 1.2-2.72 | 0.187 | 0.005 |
| | Poor | 1 | | | |
| Hygiene during milking | No | 0.59 | 0.39-0.89 | 0.190 | 0.012 |
| | Yes | 1 | | | |
| Use of teat dips | No | 0.49 | 0.29-0.83 | 0.251 | 0.008 |
| | Yes | 1 | | | |
| Milk sample | Bulk milk tank | 1.81 | 1.11-2.93 | 0.204 | 0.017 |
| | Raw milk | 1 | | | |
| Housing system | Conventional | 1.33 | 0.88-1.92 | 0.181 | 0.184 |
| | Commercial | 1 | | | |

P-value<0.05 and OR>1 show significant effects




**Fig. 3:** Multiple clustal W alignment of the local isolates with reported isolates




**Fig. 4:** Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of local isolates of MRSA with previous reported isolates

present study isolates with reported sequences from Africa, USA, India, Italy, Turkey, and Iran having accession numbers as KR936060, NG047938, JF710615, MH798864, EU790488, and LC603424, respectively (Fig. 3). The study isolates showed no substitution or deletion at any position in comparison with each other (Fig. 4). However, the isolates from other countries showed substitution at three different positions 1, 3, and 4, compared to the study isolates, except that of Iran (LC603424). The one substitution at position 139 was also observed in sequence from India (JF710615). However, the comparison of the local study isolates with sequence from an isolate of Iran (LC603424) showed substitution and deletion at 120 and 11 positions, respectively.

The phylogenetic tree constructed by the neighborhood-joining bootstrapping method showed that all study isolates from buffaloes (MRSA Pakistan 3, 13, 12, 4, and 5) were clustered together and revealed high similarity. While other sequences with accession numbers KR936060, NG047938, JF710615, EU 790488, and MH798864 from Africa, USA, India, Turkey, and Italy showed 96% similarity with present study isolates. However, Iran isolate LC603424 formed a separate cluster from other isolates as well as current study isolates (MRSA Buff 3, 13, 12, 4, 5) which indicates a significant difference in the nucleotide sequence of the *mecA* gene reported from other countries.

## Nucleic acid alignment and protein analysis

Alignment of the nucleic acid sequence revealed that Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 were 100% identical sequences. Polymorphism at position c.1T>C (transition), c.3C>T (transition), and c.4A>C (transversion) were observed in all sequences when compared to the reference sequence. Deletion at position c.23delA in Buff-13 sequence was also observed in Fig. 5. The nucleic acid motif of samples Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 had the same P-value (2.35e-113), while the reference sequence and Buff-12 had a P-value of 3.10e-112 and 2.30e-113, respectively. Nucleotide sequences of motifs were discriminated by different colours (Fig. 6). The total sequence size involved constructing nucleotide motifs was 1613 bp. Adenine and thymine frequency was 0.335 in the nucleotide sequence, while cytosine and guanine frequency was 0.165 in the nucleotide sequence. The coding region (exonic region) was involved in the nucleotide structure (Fig. 7). In the protein sequence, threonine was replaced by isoleucine (p.T1I). Other amino acids were the same in reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 protein except the Buff-13 sequence (Fig. 8). All sequences have the same protein motifs, except Buff-13 (Fig. 9). The physical properties of all proteins are given in Table 4. A conserved domain of penicillin-binding protein 2a was observed in reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 proteins (Table 5). The protein structure of the reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 proteins resembles the penicillin protein 2a primer structures. Buff-13 protein structure resembles alpha-catenin-like protein hmp-1 (Fig. 10). Protein-protein interaction was found in reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 protein. Buff-3 protein was not similar to any of the proteins in *S. aureus* (Figs. 11 and 12).

```
Buff-13     CATCATCGCACATACATTAATAG-AGAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 59
Reference   TACAATCGCACATACATTAATAGAGAAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 60
Buff-12     CATCATCGCACATACATTAATAGAGAAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 60
Buff-4      CATCATCGCACATACATTAATAGAGAAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 60
Buff-3      CATCATCGCACATACATTAATAGAGAAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 60
Buff-5      CATCATCGCACATACATTAATAGAGAAAAAGAAAAAAGATGGCAAAGATATTCAACTAAC 60
             *  ******************   ************************************

Buff-13     TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 119
Reference   TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 120
Buff-12     TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 120
Buff-4      TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 120
Buff-3      TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 120
Buff-5      TATTGATGCTAAAGTTCAAAAGAGTATTTATAACAACATGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGG 120
            ************************************************************

Buff-13     TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 179
Reference   TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 180
Buff-12     TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 180
Buff-4      TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 180
Buff-3      TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 180
Buff-5      TACTGCTATCCACCCTCAAACAGGTGAATTATTAGCACTTGTAAGCACACCTTCATATGA 180
            ************************************************************

Buff-13     CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 239
Reference   CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 240
Buff-12     CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 240
Buff-4      CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 240
Buff-3      CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 240
Buff-5      CGTCTATCCATTTATGTATGGCATGAGTAACGAAGAATATAATAAATTAACCGAAGATAA 240
            ************************************************************

Buff-13     AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       268
Reference   AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       269
Buff-12     AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       269
Buff-4      AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       269
Buff-3      AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       269
Buff-5      AAAAGAACCTCTGCTCAACAAGTTCCAGA       269
            ****************************
```

**Fig. 5:** Nucleic acid alignment of penicillin-binding protein 2a gene




**Fig. 6:** Conserved motifs of penicillin-binding protein 2a gene


**Fig. 7:** Structure of penicillin-binding protein 2a gene

```
Buff-13     IIAHTLIEKRKKMAKIFNL-LMLKFKRVFIT-TKMIMAQVLLSTLKQVNYH--LAHLHMT   56
Reference   TIAHTLIEKKKKDGKDIQLTIDAKVQKSIYNNMKNDYGSGTAIHPQTGELLALVSTPSYD   60
Buff-12     IIAHTLIEKKKKDGKDIQLTIDAKVQKSIYNNMKNDYGSGTAIHPQTGELLALVSTPSYD   60
Buff-4      IIAHTLIEKKKKDGKDIQLTIDAKVQKSIYNNMKNDYGSGTAIHPQTGELLALVSTPSYD   60
Buff-3      IIAHTLIEKKKKDGKDIQLTIDAKVQKSIYNNMKNDYGSGTAIHPQTGELLALVSTPSYD   60
Buff-5      IIAHTLIEKKKKDGKDIQLTIDAKVQKSIYNNMKNDYGSGTAIHPQTGELLALVSTPSYD   60
             ********.** .* ::* :  *.:: : .  *   ..      : :    ::

Buff-13     SIHLCMAVTKNII--NPKIKKNLCSTSSR   83
Reference   VYPFMYGMSNEEYNKLTEDKKEPLLNKFQ   89
Buff-12     VYPFMYGMSNEEYNKLTEDKKEPLLNKFQ   89
Buff-4      VYPFMYGMSNEEYNKLTEDKKEPLLNKFQ   89
Buff-3      VYPFMYGMSNEEYNKLTEDKKEPLLNKFQ   89
Buff-5      VYPFMYGMSNEEYNKLTEDKKEPLLNKFQ   89
               :  .::::     : **:   .. :
```

**Fig. 8:** Protein sequence alignment of penicillin-binding protein 2a


**Fig. 9:** Conserved motifs of protein sequence (penicillin-binding protein 2a sequences)




**Fig. 10:** (**a**) Protein structure of reference protein, (**b**) Protein structure of Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5, and (**c**) Protein structure of Buff-13




**Fig. 11:** Protein-protein interaction of the reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 proteins




**Fig. 12:** Other protein interactions with the reference protein, Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 proteins

**Table 4:** Physical properties of proteins

| Sample ID | Reference protein | Buff-12, Buff-4, Buff-3, and Buff-5 | Buff-13 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Number of amino acids | 89 | 89 | 83 |
| MW (molecular weight) | 10142.54 | 10154.60 | 9632.92 |
| pI | 6.65 | 6.93 | 10.71 |
| Number of negatively charged residues | 12 | 12 | 1 |
| Number of positively charged residues | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| Formula | $C_{453}H_{717}N_{115}O_{142}S_3$ | $C_{455}H_{721}N_{115}O_{141}S_3$ | $C_{436}H_{743}N_{121}O_{106}S_8$ |
| Total number of atoms | 1430 | 1435 | 1414 |
| II | 38.37 | 38.37 | 41.62 |
| Aliphatic index | 75.62 | 80.0 | 118.67 |
| GRAVY | -0.751 | -0.692 | 0.257 |

**Table 5:** Conserved domain structure of penicillin-binding protein 2a

| Sample ID | Identified domain | Similarity score | Conserved domain structure |
|---|---|---|---|
| Reference protein | Penicillin-binding protein 2a | 1 |  |
| Buff-12 | Penicillin-binding protein 2a | 1 |  |
| Buff-4 | Penicillin-binding protein 2a | 1 |  |
| Buff-3 | Penicillin-binding protein 2a | 1 |  |
| Buff-5 | Penicillin-binding protein 2a | 1 |  |
| Buff-13 | - | - | This query has no valid domain hit for architecture search |

## Discussion

Mastitis in dairy buffaloes has evolved an emerging issue despite their lower susceptibility because the teat sphincters in buffaloes has smooth muscular support as compared to cattle which prevents the entry of microorganisms (Fagiolo and Lai, 2007). Moreover, microorganisms can multiply quickly in buffalo milk for its high nutritional content, pendulous udder, and longer teats (Fagiolo and Lai, 2007).

The study results of subclinical mastitis (45.93%) are almost in line with (Abdul *et al*., 2017), who documented a 54% prevalence of mastitis in the bovine of Pakistan. However, low mastitis prevalence has also been reported in Pakistan, including (44%) by (Ali *et al*., 2011), 39.32% by (Tassew, 2017), and 34.4% in Kenya (Gitau *et al*., 2014). The variation in prevalence might be due to different management types, environmental conditions, sampling strategies, and breeds. Risk factors like parity, milk yield, hygiene during milking, milker care during milking, milk yield of animals, teat dipping, floor type, and housing system were associated with subclinical mastitis in buffaloes. The study findings are supported by Abdul *et al*. (2017), Altaf *et al*. (2020), and Muzammil *et al*. (2021). Improper milking hygiene is a significant risk factor for mastitis due to lack of pre- and post-milking teat dipping, using the same udder cloth for more than one animal, and inappropriate use of gloves during milking (Guimarães *et al*., 2017). The current study reported parity and high milk yield as significant risk factors for mastitis. These results are supported by the findings of Oltenacu and Broom (2010), Nyman *et al*. (2014), and Taponen *et al*. (2017). Reasons for increased risk of mastitis with parity may include impaired leukocyte function due to aged animals, and changes in the teat conformation with increasing age (Rainard and Riollet, 2006). The current study reported that teat dipping was significantly associated with mastitis prevention; these findings are supported by Nururrozi *et al*. (2020) but contrary to Gleeson *et al*. (2018) who reported that teat dipping does not have a significant effect on mastitis. However, teat dipping are not only helpful to control mastitis but are also effective in reducing the transmission of bacteria through milk.

*S. aureus* is a major cause of mastitis in bovine (Abdul *et al*., 2017). The current study has documented a 56.12% prevalence of *S. aureus* from buffalo mastitis samples, which is comparable with the studies reported by Shah *et al*. (2019) who reported a 53.33% prevalence of *S. aureus* in India, and Awad *et al*. (2017), who described 42% prevalence of *S. aureus* in bovine mastitis. The occurrence of bovine mastitis caused by *S. aureus* in the current study is much higher compared to the 8.32% prevalence reported by Ali *et al*. (2011), and 22.14% found by Tassew (2017). The discrepancies in the *S. aureus* mastitis prevalence might be due to variations in the pathogen survival in the teat canal (Ji *et al*., 2020), biofilm formation, different bovine breeds and species, geographic locations, and management practices. Manure and bedding are sources of various contagious pathogens such as *S. aureus*. These microorganisms may also be present in soil or air as environmental microorganisms. Milker's hands, towels, tissues, and flies can spread the pathogens to healthy and clean udders during milking, hence responsible for mastitis (Aqib *et al*., 2017; Abdeen *et al*., 2021).

The current study findings for phenotypic MRSA comply with the prevalence of 47% in China (Pu *et al*., 2014) and 34% in Pakistan (Aqib *et al*., 2017). A few studies have reported low prevalence in Korea (6.3%) (Lim *et al*., 2013), Germany (16.7%) (Spohr *et al*., 2011), and the USA (4%, 1.8%, and 0.6%) (Haran *et al*., 2012). The current study has reported 18.79% MRSA by targeting the *mec*A gene. These results are coherent with the findings of Aklilu and Ying (2020) who reported 17.89% genotypic MRSA prevalence, and somewhat high genotypic prevalence of 25%, and 23.3% reported by Shah *et al*. (2019), and Guimarães *et al*. (2017), respectively. The variation might be due to overproduction of beta-lactamase or poor expression of genes, particularly *mecA* (Turutoglu *et al*., 2009). The current study has documented a much higher phenotypic prevalence of MRSA. Variations in phenotypic and genotypic prevalence might be due to other genes besides *mecA* responsible for methicillin resistance (Haran *et al*., 2012). The phenotypic detection of MRSA less reliable as compared to genotypic confirmation due to probable false-negative result following the appearance of novel resistant genes (Aqib *et al*., 2018). Resistance can occur due to genes like *mecC*, reported as an oxacillin-induced gene found in the regulatory system of *S. aureus* (Ballhausen *et al*., 2014).

The resistance development in animals due to the higher use of antibiotics has been strongly evidenced. The zoonotic transfer of resistant bacteria to people in direct contact with animals like milking, grooming, feeding, and treatment purposes is highly suspected (Cuny *et al*., 2015). The transfer of livestock-associated MRSA (LA-MRSA) is of serious concern for public health (Köck *et al*., 2012). Direct contact strongly relates to LA-MRSA colonization in patients. Biosafety measures, proper disinfection of contaminated environments, and isolation of infected animals should be applied for zoonosis prevention (Catry *et al*., 2010).

The study concludes that MRSA is a highly prevalent pathogen associated with subclinical mastitis in buffaloes. Identifying associated risk factors can help reduce the infection in buffalo herds. The confirmation of MRSA through phenotypic, genotypic, and protein analysis help in diagnosis and devise the control strategies for MRSA-associated subclinical mastitis. There are chances of misdiagnosis of MRSA by phenotypic identification, which can lead to developing novel resistant genes and serious zoonotic concerns *via* the food chain to consumers. Therefore, genotypic analysis of MRSA can confirm resistant genes, which can be helpful for the identification of protein responsible for developing resistance in *S. aureus* against commonly used antibiotics. In addition, the data from this research can also be used to inform the public about

the potential threat of MRSA from buffaloes and their dairy products.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Punjab Higher Education Commission (PHEC) for providing funds for the current study under project No. PHEC/ARA/PIRCA 20150/4. All the research was done in the Molecular Medicine Laboratory in the Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in the submission/publication of this data.

## References

**Abdeen, EE; Mousa, WS; Abdel-Tawab, AA; El-Faramawy, R and Abo-Shama, UH** (2021). Phenotypic, genotypic and antibiogram among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 41: 289-293. doi: 10.29261/pakvetj/2021.008.

**Abdul, M; Malik, R; Ijaz, M; Islam, A; Shahid, A; Farooqi, H and Hussain, K** (2017). The prevalence and associated risk factors of *Coa* gene (coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus*) from bovine milk Kafkas. Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg., 23: 809-815. doi: 10.9775/kvfd.2017.17910.

**Ahmed, A; Ijaz, M; Khan, JA and Anjum, AA** (2022). Molecular characterization and therapeutic insights into biofilm positive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 42: 584-590.

**Aklilu, E and Ying, CH** (2020). First *mecC* and *mecA* positive livestock-associated methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MecC MRSA/LA-MRSA) from dairy cattle in Malaysia. Microorganisms. 8: 147. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8020147.

**Ali, MA; Ahmad, MD; Muhammad, K and Anjum, AA** (2011). Prevalence of sub clinical mastitis in dairy buffaloes of Punjab, Pakistan Okara. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 21: 477-480.

**Ali, M; Irtiqa, A; Mahrukh, F and Tooba, A** (2018). Factors leading to acquired bacterial resistance due to antibiotics in Pakistan. Curr. Trends Biotechnol. Microbiol., 1: 1-7. doi: 10.32474/CTBM.2018.01.000101.

**Altaf, M; Ijaz, M; Iqbal, MK; Rehman, A; Avais, M; Ghaffar, A and Ayyub, RM** (2020). Molecular characterization of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and associated risk factors with the occurrence of goat mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 40: 1-6. doi: 10.29261/pakvetj/2019.079.

**Aqib, AI; Ijaz, M; Anjum, AA; Malik, MAR; Mehmood, K; Farooqi, SH and Hussain, K** (2017). Antibiotic susceptibilities and prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from bovine milk in Pakistan. Acta Trop., 176: 168-172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.08.008.

**Aqib, AI; Ijaz, M; Farooqi, SH; Ahmed, R; Shoaib, M; Ali, MM; Mehmood, K and Zhang, H** (2018). Emerging discrepancies in conventional and molecular epidemiology of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine milk. Microb. Pathog., 116: 38-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.01.005.

**Awad, A; Ramadan, H; Nasr, S; Ateya, A and Atwa, S** (2017). Genetic characterization, antimicrobial resistance patterns and virulence determinants of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated form bovine mastitis. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 20: 298-305. https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2017.298.305.

**Ballhausen, B; Kriegeskorte, A; Schleimer, N; Peters, G and Becker, K** (2014). The *mecA* homolog *mecC* confers resistance against β-Lactams in *Staphylococcus aureus* irrespective of the genetic strain background. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 58: 3791-3798. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02731-13.

**Catry, B; Van Duijkeren, E; Pomba, MC; Greko, C; Moreno, MA; Pyörälä, S; Ruzauskas, M; Sanders, P; Threlfall, EJ; Ungemach, F; Törneke, K; Munoz-Madero, C and Torren-Edo, J** (2010). Reflection paper on MRSA in food-producing and companion animals: epidemiology and control options for human and animal health. Epidemiol Infect., 138: 626-644. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810000014.

**CLSI** (2019). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 29th Edition.

**Cuny, C; Wieler, LH and Witte, W** (2015). Livestock-associated MRSA: The impact on humans. Antibiotics. 4: 521-543. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics4040521.

**Fagiolo, A and Lai, O** (2007). Mastitis in buffalo. Ital. J. Anim. Sci., 6: 200-206. https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2007.s2.200.

**Galdiero, E; Liguori, G; D'Isanto, M; Damiano, N and Sommese, L** (2003). Distribution of *mecA* among methicillin-resistant clinical staphylococcal strains isolated at hospitals in Naples, Italy. Eur. J. Epidemiol., 18: 139-145.

**Gao, J; Ferreri, M; Yu, F; Liu, X; Chen, L; Su, J and Han, B** (2012). Molecular types and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis in a single herd in China. Vet. J., 192: 550-552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.08.030.

**Ghumman, NZ; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Javed, MU; Muzammil, I and Raza, A** (2022). Evaluation of methicillin resistance in field isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*: An emerging issue of indigenous bovine breeds. Pakistan J. Zool., 55: 1-12. https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/20220316080346.

**Gitau, GK; Bundi, RM; Vanleeuwen, J and Mulei, CM** (2014). Mastitogenic bacteria isolated from dairy cows in Kenya and their antimicrobial sensitivity. J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc., 85: 1-8. https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC149579.

**Gleeson, D; Flynn, J and Brien, BO** (2018). Effect of pre-milking teat disinfection on new mastitis infection rates of dairy cows. Ir. Vet. J., 71: 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13620-018-0122-4.

**Guimarães, FF; Manzi, MP; Joaquim, SF; Richini-Pereira, VB and Langoni, H** (2017). Short communication: Outbreak of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)-associated mastitis in a closed dairy herd. J. Dairy Sci., 100: 726-730. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11700.

**Guo, D; Liu, Y; Han, C; Chen, Z and Ye, X** (2018). Phenotypic and molecular characteristics of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from pigs: implication for livestock-association markers and vaccine strategies. Infect. Drug Resist., 11: 1299-1307. https://doi.org/10.2147%2FIDR.S173624.

**Haran, KP; Godden, SM; Boxrud, D; Jawahir, S; Bender, JB and Sreevatsan, S** (2012). Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus*, including

methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, isolated from bulk tank milk from Minnesota dairy farms. J. Clin. Microbiol., 50: 688-695. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.05214-11.

**Harris, SR; Feil, EJ; Holden, MTG; Quail, MA; Nickerson, EK; Chantratita, N; Gardete, S; Tavares, A; Day, N; Lindsay, JA; Edgeworth, JD; de Lencastre, H; Parkhill, J; Peacock, SJ and Bentley, SD** (2010). Evolution of MRSA during hospital transmission and intercontinental spread. Science. 327: 469-474. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1182395.

**Hasan, MA; Khan, MA; Sharmin, T; Hasan Mazumder, MH and Chowdhury, AS** (2016). Identification of putative drug targets in Vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (VRSA) using computer aided protein data analysis. Gene. 575: 132-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2015.08.044.

**Javed, MU; Ijaz, M; Durrani, AZ and Ali, MM** (2023). On-farm epidemiology, virulence profiling, and molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at goat farms. Microb Pathog., 185: 106456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106456.

**Javed, MU; Ijaz, M; Fatima, Z; Anjum, AA; Aqib, AI; Ali, MM; Rehman, A; Ahmed, A and Ghaffar, A** (2021). Frequency and antimicrobial susceptibility of methicillin and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine milk. Pak. Vet. J., 41: 463-468. doi: 10.29261/pakvetj/2021.060.

**Ji, Y; Xiao, F; Zhu, W; Liu, S; Feng, X; Sun, C; Lei, L; Dong, J; Khan, A; Han, W and Gu, J** (2020). LysGH15 effectively control murine mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Pak. Vet. J., 40: 519-522. doi: 10.29261/pakvetj/2020.056.

**Juhász-Kaszanyitzky, E; Jánosi, S; Somogyi, P; Dán, A; van der Graaf-van Bloois, L; van Duijkeren, E and Wagenaar, JA** (2007). MRSA transmission between cows and humans. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 13: 630-632. https://doi.org/10.3201%2Feid1304.060833.

**Köck, R; Loth, B; Köksal, M; Schulte-Wülwer, J; Harlizius, J and Friedrich, AW** (2012). Persistence of nasal colonization with livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in pig farmers after holidays from pig exposure. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78: 4046-4047. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00212-12.

**Lakhundi, S and Zhang, K** (2018). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: molecular characterization, evolution, and epidemiology. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 31: e00020-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00020-18.

**Lim, SK; Nam, HM; Jang, GC; Lee, HS; Jung, SC and Kim, TS** (2013). Transmission and persistence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk, environment, and workers in dairy cattle farms. Foodborne Path. Dis., 10: 731-736. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1436.

**Locatelli, C; Piepers, S; De Vliegher, S; Barberio, A; Supré, K; Scaccabarozzi, L; Pisoni, G; Bronzo, V; Haesebrouck, F and Moroni, P** (2013). Effect on quarter milk somatic cell count and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus rostri* causing intramammary infection in dairy water buffaloes. J. Dairy Sci., 96: 3799-3805. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6275.

**Muhammad, G; Athar, M; Shakoor, A; Khan, MZ; Rehman, F and Ahmad, MT** (1995). Surf field mastitis test: An inexpensive new tool for evaluation of wholesomeness of fresh milk. Pak. J. Food Sci., 5: 91-93.

**Muzammil, I; Ijaz, M; Saleem, MH and Ali, MM** (2022). Drug repurposing strategy: An emerging approach to identify potential therapeutics for treatment of bovine mastitis. Microb. Pathog., 171: 105691.

**Muzammil, I; Saleem, MI; Aqib, AI; Ashar, A; Mahfooz, SA; ur Rahman, S; Shoaib, M; Naseer, MA; Sohrani, IK and Ahmad, J** (2021). Emergence of pathogenic strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* in goat milk and their comparative response to antibiotics. Pak. J. Zool., https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/20191024181008.

**Nururrozi, A; Indarjulianto, S; Purnamaningsih, H and Mada, UG** (2020). The benefits of teat dipping as prevention of mastitis. J. Livest. Sci. Prod., 4: 231-249. http://dx.doi.org/10.31002/jalspro.v4i1.2796.

**Nyman, AK; Persson Waller, K; Bennedsgaard, TW; Larsen, T and Emanuelson, U** (2014). Associations of udder-health indicators with cow factors and with intramammary infection in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 97: 5459-5473. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7885.

**Oltenacu, P and Broom, D** (2010). The impact of genetic selection for increased milk yield on the welfare of dairy cows. Anim. Welf., 19: 39-49. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0962728600002220.

**Pu, W; Su, Y; Li, J; Li, C; Yang, Z; Deng, H and Ni, C** (2014). High incidence of oxacillin-susceptible *mecA*-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* (OS-MRSA) associated with bovine mastitis in China. PloS One. 9: e88134. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088134.

**Rainard, P and Riollet, C** (2006). Innate immunity of the bovine mammary gland. Vet. Res., 37: 369-400. https://dx.doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2006007.

**Rasheed, H; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Javed, MU; Shah, SFA and Anwaar, F** (2023). Discrepancies between phenotypic and genotypic identification methods of antibiotic resistant genes harboring *Staphylococcus aureus*. Microb Pathog., 184: 106342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106342.

**Rinsky, JL; Nadimpalli, M; Wing, S; Hall, D; Baron, D; Price, LB; Larsen, J; Stegger, M; Stewart, J and Heaney, CD** (2013). Livestock-associated methicillin and multidrug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is present among industrial, not antibiotic-free livestock operation workers in North Carolina. PloS One. 8: e67641. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067641.

**Sabir, MJ; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Rasheed, H; Javed, MU and Anwaar, F** (2023). First report on genotypic estimation of MRSA load in udder of nomadic sheep flocks affected with subclinical mastitis in Pakistan. Res. Vet. Sci., 10: 105107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2023.105107.

**Shah, MS; Qureshi, S; Kashoo, Z; Farooq, S; Wani, SA; Hussain, MI; Banday, MS; Khan, AA; Gull, B; Habib, A; Khan, SM and Dar, BA** (2019). Methicillin resistance genes and *in vitro* biofilm formation among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis in India. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 64: 117-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2019.02.009.

**Siddiky, M and Faruque, M** (2018). Buffaloes for dairying in south Asia: potential, challenges and way forward. SAARC J. Agric., 15: 227-239. https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v15i2.35167.

**Spohr, M; Rau, J; Friedrich, A; Klittich, G; Fetsch, A; Guerra, B; Hammerl, JA and Tenhagen, BA** (2011). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in three dairy herds in southwest Germany. Zoonoses Public Health. 8: 252-261. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1863-2378.2010.01344.x.

**Taponen, S; Liski, E; Heikkilä, AM and Pyörälä, S** (2017). Factors associated with intramammary infection in dairy cows caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci,

*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus uberis*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Corynebacterium bovis*, or *Escherichia coli*. J. Dairy Sci., 100: 493-503. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11465.

**Tassew, A; Aki, A and Legesse, K** (2017). Isolation, identification and antimicrobial resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* and occurrence of methicillin resistant *S. aureus* isolated from mastitic lactating cows in and around Assosa Town, Benishangul Gumuz Region, Ethiopia. J. Dairy Vet. Anim. Res., 6: 23-32.

**Tenhagen, BA; Alt, K; Pfefferkorn, B; Wiehle, L; Käsbohrer, A and Fetsch, A** (2018). Short communication: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in conventional and organic dairy herds in Germany. J. Dairy Sci., 101: 3380-3386. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-12939.

**Thrusfield, MV** (2007). *Veterinary epidemiology*. 4th Edn., Oxford, Blackwell Science; Ames, Iowa, John Willey and Sons. P: 861.

**Turutoglu, H; Hasoksuz, M and Ozturk, D** (2009). Methicillin and aminoglycoside resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis and sequence analysis of their *mecA* genes. Vet. Res. Commun., 33: 945-956. doi: 10.1007/s11259-009-9313-5.

**Wang, D; Wang, Z; Yan, Z; Wu, J; Ali, T; Li, J; Lv, Y and Han, B** (2015). Bovine mastitis *Staphylococcus aureus*: antibiotic susceptibility profile, resistance genes and molecular typing of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive strains in China. Infect. Genet. Evol. 31: 9-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.12.039.