http://ijsts.shirazu.ac.ir

# Actions of S on $C_0(X)$ and ideals of $C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$

B. Tabatabaie Shourijeh<sup>1</sup>\* and S. M. Zebarjad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1, 2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran E-mail: tabataba@math.susc.ac.ir

## Abstract

Some partial action properties of a group G on a  $C^*$ -algebra A are extended to an action of a unital inverse semigroup S on  $C_0(X)$ . Also, invariant and quotient ideals of  $C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$  are considered.

Keywords: Partial action; partial homeomorphism; partial automorphism and partial crossed product

#### 1. Introduction

The notion of monogenic inverse semigroups and their  $C^*$ -algebras was introduced by Conway, Duncan and Paterson in 1984 (Conway et al., 1984). In 1985 Duncan and Paterson considered  $C^*$ algebra of inverse semigroups (Duncan and Paterson, 1985). During the last four decads, many authors have discussed  $C^*$ -algebras of inverse semigroups from different aspects. Among them J. Cuntz and W. Krieger discussed the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by families of partial isometries whose initial and range projections satisfy a certain condition (Cuntz and Krieger, 1980). Also, semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups (Laca and Raeburn, 1996), and a semigroup crossed product arising in number theory (Laca and Raeburn, 1999) are given by M. Laca and I. Raeburn. Non-unital semigroup crossed products (Larsen, 2000) was considered by N. Larsen while the crossed product of  $C^*$ -algebras by a unital inverse semigroup which is introduced by N. Sieben is a kind of generalization of crossed product of a  $C^*$ -algebra with a group, (Siben, 1997). Our approach is based on Sieben's theory of crossed products.

The reference (Howie, 1976) is an excellent source of information about semigroups.

Let *A* be a *C*<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. By a *partial automorphism* of *A* we mean a triple  $(\alpha, I, J)$  where *I* and *J* are closed two-sided ideals in *A* and  $\alpha: I \rightarrow J$  is a \*-isomorphism. If  $(\alpha, I, J)$  and  $(\beta, K, L)$  are two partial automorphisms of *A*, then  $\alpha\beta$  is nothing but the composition of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with the largest possible

\*Corresponding author Received: 25 June 2013 / Accepted: 16 April 2014 domain. Using the fact that, ideals of ideals of a  $C^*$ -algebra are themselves, ideals of that algebra, we see that the set PAut(A) of partial automorphisms of A is a unital inverse semigroup.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{C}^2$  be the set of all pairs with complex coordinates. It is not hard to see that,  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with the norm, multiplication and involution as follow

$$\|(c_1, c_2)\| = max\{ |c_1|, |c_2| \}; (c_1, c_2)(c_1', c_2') = (c_1c_1', c_2c_2'); (c_1, c_2)^* = (\overline{c_1}, \overline{c_2}).$$

The group of integers,  $\mathbb{Z}$ , is a unital inverse semigroup. With  $A = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$E_0 = A, E_1 = \{(0, a): a \in A\}, E_{-1} = \{(a, 0): a \in A\},\$$

and  $E_n = \{ (0,0) \}$  for all *n*, except n = -1, 0, 1. Let  $\alpha_0$  be the identity map on *A*,  $\alpha_1 ((a, 0)) = (0, a)$  be the forward shift and  $\alpha_n = (\alpha_1)^n$  for all  $n \neq 0$ . Obviously,  $(\alpha_n, E_{-n}, E_n)$  is a partial automorphism of *A*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let *S* be an inverse semigroup with identity *e*, and *A* be a  $C^*$ -algebra. By an *action* of *S* on *A*, we mean a *semigroup homomorphism* 

$$s \mapsto (\alpha_s, E_{s^*}, E_s): S \longrightarrow PAut(A),$$

with  $E_e = A$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra, S be a unital inverse semigroup with unit element e and  $\alpha$  be an action of S on A. Then we have,

(i)  $\alpha_{s^*} = \alpha_s^{-1}$  for all *s* in *S*,  $\alpha_e$  is the identity map on *A* and if *s* is an idempotent element of *S*, then  $\alpha_s$  is the identity map on  $E_s = E_{s^*}$ . (ii)  $\alpha_t(E_{t^*}E_s) = E_{ts}$  for all s, t in S.

**Proof:** (i) We know that  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism. Therefore,  $\alpha_s = \alpha(s) = \alpha(ss^*s) = \alpha(s)\alpha(s^*)\alpha(s) = \alpha_s \alpha_{s^*} \alpha_s$  and  $\alpha_s = \alpha_s \alpha_s^{-1} \alpha_s$ . Uniqueness of inverses in inverse semigroups (Exel, 1998), implies that  $\alpha_{s^*} = \alpha_s^{-1}$ . Moreover,

$$\alpha_e \alpha_s = \alpha_{es} = \alpha_s = \alpha_{se} = \alpha_s \alpha_e,$$

that is,  $\alpha_e = i_A$ . For an idempotent element *s* we have  $sss = s^2 = s$  and  $ss^*s = s$ . By uniqueness of inverse of *s* we have  $s = s^*$ . Consequently  $\alpha_s = \alpha_{s^*}$  and  $E_s = E_{s^*}$ . Since  $\alpha_s = \alpha_{s^2} = \alpha_s \alpha_s = \alpha_s \alpha_{s^*} = i_{E_s}$ , we observe that  $\alpha_s$  is the identity map on  $E_s = E_{s^*}$ .

(ii) Since  $E_s$  and  $E_{t^*}$  are closed ideals in the  $C^*$ -algebra A we have  $E_s E_{t^*} = E_s \cap E_{t^*}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t(E_s E_{t^*}) &= \alpha_t(E_s \cap E_{t^*}) = ran(\alpha_t \alpha_s) \\ &= ran(\alpha(t) \alpha(s)) \\ &= ran(\alpha(ts)) \\ &= ran(\alpha_{ts}) = E_{ts}. \end{aligned}$$

A triple  $(A, S, \alpha)$  in which A is a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, S is a unital inverse semigroup and  $\alpha$  is an action of S on A is called a *semipartial dynamical system*.

**Definition 1.4.** Given a semipartial dynamical system,  $(A, S, \alpha)$ , by a covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha)$  we mean a triple  $(\pi, \nu, H)$  where  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  is a non-degenerate \* –representation of A on a Hilbert space H and  $\nu : S \rightarrow B(H)$  is a multiplicative map such that

(i)  $v_s \pi(a) v_{s^*} = \pi (\alpha_s(a))$  for all  $a \in E_{s^*}$ ; (ii)  $v_s$  is a partial isometry with initial space  $\pi(E_{s^*})H$  and final space  $\pi(E_s)H$ .

It should be noted that  $v_{s^*} = (v_s)^*$  and  $v_e = 1_H$ . Let  $(A, S, \alpha)$  be a semipartial dynamical system and  $L_A = \{x \in l^1(S, A) : x(s) \in E_s\}$  be a closed subspace of  $l^1(S, A)$ . Define a multiplication and involution on  $L_A$  by

$$(x * y)(s) = \sum_{rt=s} \alpha_r [\alpha_{r^*} (x(r))y(t)]$$

and

$$x^*(s) = \alpha_s[x(s^*)^*],$$

for  $x, y \in L_A$  and  $r, s, t \in S$ . By Proposition 1.3. we see that  $(x * y)(s) \in E_s$  for every  $s \in S$ . Therefore  $x * y \in L_A$ . Also,  $x(s^*) \in E_s$  for every x in  $L_A$ ,  $E_{s^*}$  is an ideal of A,  $(x(s^*))^* \in E_s$  and  $\alpha_s((x(s^*))^*) \in E_s$ . That is,  $x^* \in L_A$ . Obviously,  $||x * y|| \leq ||x|| ||y||$  and  $||x^*|| = ||x||$  where ||.||denotes the norm of  $L_A$  inherited from  $l^1(S, A)$ . As a result,  $L_A$  is a Banach \*-algebra [(Sieben, 1997), prop. 4.1], and if  $(\pi, v, H)$  is a covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha)$  then  $\pi \times v$  where  $\pi \times v : L_A \to B(H)$  by  $(\pi \times v)(x) = \sum_{s \in S} \pi(x(s))v_s$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $L_A$  [(Sieben, 1997), prop. 4.3].

We close this section with the following crucial definition.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(A, S, \alpha)$  be a semipartial dynamical system. Define a seminorm  $\|.\|_c$  on  $L_A$  by

 $\|x\|_{c} = \sup\{\|\pi \times v(x)\| : (\pi, v, H) \text{ is a } covariant representation of } (A, S, \alpha)\}.$ 

Let  $I = \{x \in L_A : ||x||_c = 0\}$ . The *crossed* product  $A \times_{\alpha} S$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by completing the quotient  $\frac{L_A}{I}$  with respect to  $||x||_c$ .

## **2.** On semipartial dynamical system $(C_0(X), S, \alpha)$

In this section we will mostly be concerned with  $(C_0(X), S, \alpha)$  where *X* is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\alpha$  is that action of *S* on  $C_0(X)$  which arises from partial homeomorphisms of *X*, that is, for every  $s \in S$  there is an open subset  $U_s$  of *X* and a homeomorphism  $\theta_s: U_{s^*} \to U_s$  such that  $U_e = X$  and  $\theta_e$  is the identity map on *X*. The action  $\alpha$  of *S* on  $C_0(X)$  corresponding to the partial homeomorphism  $\theta$  is given by

$$\alpha_s(f)(x) = f(\theta_{s^*}(x))$$

for  $s \in S$  and  $f \in C_0(U_{s^*})$ .

Given a unital inverse semigroup *S* and a locally compact Hausdorff space *X*, by a *topological action* of *S* on *X* we mean a pair  $\theta = (\{U_s\}_{s \in S}, \{\theta_s\}_{s \in S})$ , where for each *s* in *S*,  $U_s$  is an open subset of *X*,  $\theta_s : U_{S^*} \rightarrow U_s$  is a homeomorphism,  $U_e = X$  and  $\theta_e$  is the identity map on *X*. Let  $\theta = (\{U_s\}_{s \in S}, \{\theta_s\}_{s \in S})$ , be a topological action of *S* on *X* as above. Then  $E_s = C_0(U_s)$  will be identified, in the usual way, with the ideal of functions in  $C_0(X)$  vanishing off  $U_s$ .

The major new results of this section are theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.6.

**Definition 2.1.** The topological action  $\theta$  of *S* on *X* is *topologically free* if for every  $s \in S - \{e\}$  the set

$$F_s := \{x \in U_{s^*} : \theta_s(x) = x\}$$

has empty interior.

Although  $F_s$  need not be closed in X, we will show that it is closed in  $U_{s^*}$ . For this, let x be a limit point of  $F_s$  and  $x \in U_{s^*}$ . There exists a net  $\{x_i\}$  of elements of  $F_s$  such that  $x_i \to x$ . Since  $\theta_s$  is a homeomorphism we have  $\theta_s(x_i) \to \theta_s(x)$ . From  $\theta_s(x_i) = x_i$  we see that  $x_i \to \theta_s(x)$ . Uniqueness of the limit of a net shows that  $\theta_s(x) = x$ , that is,  $x \in F_s$ . This shows that  $F_s$  is closed in the domain of  $\theta_s$ .

Important facts about nowhere dense sets can be found in (Goffman and Pedrick, 1991).

**Theorem 2.2.** The topological action  $\theta$  of a unital inverse semigroup *S* on *X* is topologically free if and only if for every  $s \in S - \{e\}$ , the set  $F_s$  is nowhere dense.

**Proof:** The "if " part is trivial. For the " only if " let  $\theta$  be topologically free. We know that  $F_s$  is closed relative to  $U_{s^*}$ . As a consequence  $F_s = C \cap U_{s^*}$  in which *C* is a closed subset of *X*. If *V* is open and  $V \subset \overline{F_s}$ , then

$$\begin{array}{l} V \ \cap \ U_{s^*} \subset \overline{F_s} \ \cap \ U_{s^*} = \overline{(C \ \cap \ U_{s^*})} \cap U_{s^*} \\ \subseteq \ \overline{C} \ \cap \ U_{s^*} = C \ \cap \ U_{s^*} = F_s. \end{array}$$

Since  $F_s$  has empty interior and  $V \cap U_{s^*}$  is open we see that  $V \cap U_{s^*} = \phi$ . So the open sets  $U_{s^*}$  and V are separated. Now, since

$$V \subset \overline{F_s} = \overline{C \cap U_{s^*}} \subseteq C \cap \overline{U_{s^*}} \subset \overline{U_{s^*}}$$

we see that  $V = \phi$ . That is  $F_s$  is nowhere dense.

In the remainder of this work we denote by  $\delta_s$  ( $s \in S$ ) the function in  $L_A$  which takes the value 1 at *s* and zero at every other element of *S*.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $s \in S - \{e\}, f \in E_s = C_0(U_s)$ , and  $x_0 \notin F_s$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $h \in C_0(X)$  such that: (i)  $h(x_0) = 1$ ; (ii)  $||h(f \delta_s)h|| \le \varepsilon$ , and (iii)  $0 \le h \le 1$ .

**Proof:** Since  $x_0 \notin F_s$  let us separate the proof into two cases according to  $x_0$  being in the domain  $U_s$  of  $\theta_{s^*}$  or not. Let  $x_0 \notin U_s$ . From  $f \in E_s$  we see that the set  $K := \{x \in U_s : |f(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}$  is a closed subset of  $U_s$  and  $x_0 \notin K$ . So by the Urysohn's lemma there exists h in  $C_0(X)$  such that  $0 \le h \le 1$ , h(K) = 0 and  $h(x_0) = 1$ .

Now since the restriction of the function *h* to the set  $U_s$  implies that  $hf \in E_s$  by the definition of  $\delta_s$ , we conclude that  $(hf)\delta_s \in L_A \subseteq l^1(S,A) \subseteq C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$ . So that

$$\begin{aligned} \|((hf)\delta_{s})(h\delta_{e})\| &\leq \|hf\| = \sup\{|h(x)f(x)| : x \in U_{s}\} = \\ \sup\{|h(x)f(x)| : x \in K\} \cup \{|h(x)| | f(x)| : x \\ \in U_{s} - K\} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (ii) holds.

If  $x_0 \in U_s$  then  $\theta_{s^*}(x_0) \neq x_0$ , since X is Hausdorff, there are disjoint open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ such that  $x_0 \in V_1 \subset U_s$  and  $\theta_{s^*}(x_0) \in V_2 \subset U_{s^*}$ . If  $V := \theta_s(V_2) \cap V_1$ , then  $x_0 \in V_1$  and  $\theta_{s^*}(V) \subset V_2$ . Since  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \phi$  we have  $\theta_{s^*}(V) \cap V = \phi$ . Now there exists *h* in  $C_0(X)$  such that  $0 \le h \le 1$ ,  $h(x_0) = 1$  and h(X - V) = 0. Obviously,

(i) and (iii) hold. To show (ii) holds, we know that  $hf \delta_s h = ((hf)\delta_s)(h\delta_e) = \alpha_s(\alpha_{s^*}(hf)h)\delta_{se} = 0$ , simply because the support of  $\alpha_{s^*}(hf)$  is contained in  $\theta_{s^*}(V)$ , the support of *h* is in *V* and  $\theta_{s^*}(V) \cap V = \phi$ .

Here we need to introduce the important notion of conditional expectation.

**Definition 2.4.** Let *B* be a  $C^*$  –subalgebras of a  $C^*$  –algebras *A*. By a conditional expectation from *A* to *B* we mean a completely positive contraction  $\theta: A \to B$  such that  $\theta(b) = b, \theta(bx) = b\theta(x)$ , and  $\theta(xb) = \theta(x)b$  for all  $x \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ .

It should be noted that the conditional expectation  $\theta$  is a positive map on A. Therefore  $\theta(a^*) = (\theta(a))^*$  for all  $a \in A$ , and it is not hard to see that the conditional expectation property from right multiplication by elements of B is a consequence of that for left multiplication and conversely (Rieffel, 1974).

Using [(Rajarama Bhat, 2000), 6.2.1] we can consider  $C_0(X)$  as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the partial crossed product  $C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$ . That is the conditional expectation from  $C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$  onto  $C_0(X)$  is well defined, and is denoted by *E*. In general, conditional expectations onto subalgebras are not unique, but there are situations where conditional expectations with additional natural properties are unique [(Blackadar, 2006), II.6.10.4].

**Definition 2.5.** A semipartial dynamical systm  $(A, S, \alpha)$  is said to be *topologically free* if the set of fixed points for the partial homeomorphism associated to each non-trivial semigroup element has empty interior.

Since the conditional expection  $E: C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S \rightarrow C_0(X)$  is contractive we can state and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $(C_0(X), S, \alpha)$  is a topologically free semipartial dynamical system, then for every  $c \in C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $h \in C_0(X)$  such that: (i)  $||hE(c)h|| \ge ||E(c)|| - \varepsilon$ , (ii)  $||hE(c)h - hch|| \le \varepsilon$ , (iii)  $0 \le h \le 1$ .

**Proof:** Let *c* be a finite linear combination of the form  $\sum_{t \in T} a_t \delta_t$ , where *T* denotes a finite subset of *S*. Define  $E(c) = a_e$  if  $e \in T$  and E(c) = 0 if  $e \notin T$ . Since

$$||a_e|| = \sup \{|a_e(x)| : x \in X\},\$$

for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $V = \{x \in X :$  $|a_e(x)| \ge ||a_e|| - \varepsilon$  is a non-empty open set.

Since the topological action  $\alpha$  is topologically free, then there exists  $x_0 \in V$  such that  $x_0 \notin F_t$  for every  $t \in T$ . Take  $f_t = a_t \delta_t \in D_t$ , for  $\frac{\varepsilon}{|T|}$  by Theorem 2.3 there exist functions  $h_t$  such that

$$h_t(x_0) = 1$$
,  $||h_t(a_t \delta_t) h_t|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|T|}$  and  $0 \le h_t \le 1$ .

Let  $h = \prod_{t \in T - \{e\}} h_t$ . Obviously  $0 \le h_t \le 1$ , that is, (iii) holds. Also (i) holds, simply because  $x_0 \in V$  and

$$\|ha_e h\| = \sup \{ h(x)a_e(x) h(x) : x \in X \} \\ \ge |h(x_0) a_e(x_0) h(x_0)| \\ = |a_e(x_0)| > \|a_e\| - \varepsilon.$$

In order to prove (ii), we have

...

$$\begin{aligned} \|ha_{e}h - hch\| &= \left\| ha_{e}h - \sum_{t \in T} ha_{t}\delta_{t}h \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{t \in T - \{e\}} ha_{t}\delta_{t}h \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in T - \{e\}} \|ha_{t}\delta_{t}h\| < |T|\frac{\varepsilon}{|T|} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary element c, since c is the limit of a net in  $C_0(X) \times_{\alpha} S$  and E is contractive, a standard approaximation argument finishes the proof.

#### 3. Invariant and quotient ideals

As before, X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, S is a unital inverse semigroup,  $\theta$  is a topological action of S on X and  $\alpha$  is the action of S on  $C_0(X)$ which is corresponding to  $\theta$ . Also, an ideal I in  $C_0(X)$  is called *invariant* under the corresponding action  $\alpha$  on  $C_0(X)$  or simply  $\alpha$ -invariant if  $\alpha_s(I \cap \alpha_s)$  $E_{s^*}$ )  $\subseteq I$  for every *s* in *S*.

The major new results of this section are Lemma 3.2, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and Conjecture 3.5.

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $\alpha$  is an action of S on the  $C^*$  -algebra  $A = C_0(X)$  and I is an  $\alpha$  -invariant ideal of A then

$$\alpha_t (E_{t^*} \cap I) = E_t \cap I.$$

**Proof:** Obviously,  $\alpha_t (E_{t^*} \cap I) \subseteq E_t \cap I$ . Now let  $y \in \alpha_t (E_t \cap I)$ . Since  $y \in E_t$ , there exists x in  $E_{t^*}$  such that  $y = \alpha_t(x)$ . We claim that  $x \in I$  and as a consequence  $y = \alpha_t(x) \in \alpha_t(E_{t^*} \cap I)$ . If  $x \notin I$  then  $x \notin (E_{t^*} \cap I)$  and  $y = \alpha_t(x) \notin$  $\alpha_t(E_{t^*} \cap I) \subset I$ . That is,  $y \notin I$  and it contradicts to the hypothesis.

Let  $\alpha$  be an action of S on  $A = C_0(X)$ . For each invariant ideal I of A there is a restriction of  $\alpha$  to an action of S on I. That is, if  $\alpha = \{(\alpha_t, E_{t^*}, E_t)\}_{t \in S}$ is an action of S on A and  $\alpha_t : E_{t^*} \longrightarrow E_t$  is a partial automorphism of A, then  $\Theta = \{(\theta_t, E_{t^*} \cap$  $[I, E_t \cap I]_{t \in S}$  in which  $\theta_t = \alpha_t|_I$  and  $E_t \cap I =$  $\theta_t (E_{t^*} \cap I)$  is an action of S on I, by Lemma 3.1. Also,  $\dot{\alpha} = \{(\dot{\alpha}_t, \vec{E}_t, \vec{E}_t)\}_{t \in S}$  in which  $\vec{E}_{t^*} = \{a + d_t\}_{t \in S}$  $I \in A/I : a \in E_{t^*}$  and  $\dot{a_t} : \dot{E_{t^*}} \longrightarrow \dot{E_t} =$  $\alpha_t(E_{t^*}) + I$  defined by  $\dot{\alpha}_t(a+I) = \alpha_t(a) + I$ is a quotient action modulo I of S on A/I.

Now we make an attempt to investigate the relation between the quotient of the crossed product  $A \times_{\alpha} S$  modulo the ideal generated by I and the crossed product of  $\frac{A}{I}$  by the quotient action modulo *I*. That is, the relation between  $\frac{A \times_{\alpha} S}{\langle I \rangle}$  and  $\frac{A}{I \times_{\alpha} S}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\alpha$  be an action of S on a  $C^*$  –algebra A and I be an  $\alpha$  –invariant ideal of S, then the map from  $l^1(S, I)$  to  $l^1(S, A)$  induces an injection from  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  to  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $L_A = \{x \in l^1(S, A) : x(s) \in E_s\}$  and  $L_I = \{x \in l^1(S, I) : x(s) \in E_s\}$  where in  $L_A$  the ideal  $E_s$  is an ideal of A but in  $L_I$ , the ideal  $E_s$  is an ideal of I. As we showed in (Tabatabaie Shourijeh, 2006),  $L_A$  and  $L_I$  are closed subalgebra of  $l^1(S, A)$ . The inclusion map from  $l^1(S, I)$  into  $l^1(S, A)$  maps  $L_l$  into  $L_A$  simply because if  $b \in l^1(S, I)$ , i.e.,  $b = \sum_{s \in S} a_s \delta_s$  where each  $a_s \in E_s$ , then  $i(b) = b \in l^1(S, I)$ . Note that we used the fact that, ideals of ideals of a  $C^*$ -algebra are, themselves, ideals of that algebra. Thus the inclusion map induces inclusion map i from  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  to  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ . In order to prove that *i* is injective it is enough to show that every covariant representation of  $(I, S, \alpha)$  extends to a covariant representation of (A S,  $\alpha$ ). Therefore, let  $(\pi, \nu, H)$ be an arbitrary covariant representation of (1, S,  $\alpha$ ). Since  $(\pi, H)$  is a representation of I without loss of generality we can assume that  $\pi: I \rightarrow$ B(H) is non-degenerate. By using [(Dixmier, 1977), Prop. 2.10.4] there exists a unique extension  $\pi'$  of  $\pi$  to a representation of A on H and we have

$$v_s\pi'(a) v_{s^*} = \pi'(\alpha_s(a))$$

for all  $a \in E_{s^*}$ . That is,  $(\pi', \nu, H)$  is a covariant representation of  $(A, S, \alpha)$ .

Corollary 3.3. If I is an  $\alpha$  -invariant closed twosided ideal of A then  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  is a closed proper two-sided ideal of  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is an action of S on A and assume I is an  $\alpha$  –invariant ideal of A. Then the map  $a\delta_s \in I \times_{\alpha} S \longrightarrow a \delta_s \in A \times_{\alpha} S$  extends to an injection of  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  onto the ideal  $\langle I \rangle$ generated by I in  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ , and  $\langle I \rangle \cap A = I$ .

**Proof:** Obviously, Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 show that  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  injects as an ideal in  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ . Therefore, we can identify  $I \times_{\alpha} S$  with

$$\overline{span}\{a\delta_s: a \in E_s \cap I, s \in S\}$$

Also, we can identity *I* with its canonical image  $I\delta_e$  in  $A \times_{\alpha} S$ . Since  $\langle I \rangle$  is the smallest ideal containing *I* we have  $\langle I \rangle \subseteq I \times_{\alpha} S$ . In order to prove the reverse inclusion it suffices to show that  $a\delta_s \in \langle I \rangle$  for every  $a \in E_s \cap I$  and  $s \in S$ . Therefore, let  $a \in E_s \cap I$  and let  $b_\lambda$  be an approximate unit for the ideal  $E_s$ . Since  $a b_\lambda \delta_s = (a\delta_e)(b_\lambda \delta_s) \in \langle I \rangle$  and  $a \delta_s = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} a b_\lambda \delta_s \in \langle I \rangle$  we have  $I \times_{\alpha} S \subseteq \langle I \rangle$ . That is,  $I \times_{\alpha} S = \langle I \rangle$  and as a consequence  $I = \langle I \rangle \cap A$ .

Since the map  $a\delta_s \rightarrow (a+I)\delta_s$  induces a \* –homomorphism from  $l^1(S, A)$  onto  $l^1(S, A/I)$  we have the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4 we have the following exact sequence.

$$0 \to I \times_{\alpha} S \to A \times_{\alpha} S \to (A/I) \times_{\dot{\alpha}} S \to 0.$$

### References

- Blackadar, B. (2006). Operator Algebras, Theory of C\* –algebras and Von Neumann Algebras. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Conway, J. B., Duncan, J., & Paterson, A. L. (1984). Monogenic inverse semigroups and their C\* –algebras. Proceeding of Royal Society of Edinburgh, 98(1-2), 574–556.
- Cuntz, J., & Krieger, W. (1980). A Class of C\* –algebras and topological Markov chains. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 56(3), 251–268.
- Dixmier, J. (1977). *C*\* –*algebras*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford.
- Duncan, J., & Paterson, A. L. (1985). C\* –algebras of inverse semigroups. Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 28(1), 41–58.
- Exel, R. (1998). Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups. *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*, 126(12), 3481–3494.
- Goffman, C., & Pedrick, G. (1991). *First course in Functional Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, New Delhi.
- Howie, J. M. (1976). An introduction to Semigroup theory. Academic Press.
- Laca, M., & Raeburn, I. (1996). Semigroup crossed products and Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups. *Journal of functional analysis*, 139(2), 415–440.
- Laca, M., & Raeburn, I. (1999). A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory. *Journal of the London Mathematics Society*, 59(1), 330–344.

- Larsen, N. S. (2000). Non-unital semigroup crossed products. *Mathematical Proceedings* of the Royal Irish Academy, 100(2), 205–218.
- Rajarama Bhat, B. V., Elliott, G. A., & Fillmore, P. A. (2000). *Lectures on operator theory, Fields Inst.* American Mathematics Society, Providence, Rhode Island.
- Rieffel, M. A. (1974). Induced representations of C\* –algebras. Advances in Mathematics, 13(2), 176– 257.
- Sieben, N. (1997). C<sup>\*</sup> –Crossed products by partial actions and actions of inverse semigroups. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 63(1), 32–46.
- Tabatabaie Shourijeh, B. (2006). Partial Inverse Semigroup C\*-algebra. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 10(6), 1539–1548.