# JOHNSON AMENABILITY FOR TOPOLOGICAL SEMIGROUPS\*

# M. MAYSAMI SADR<sup>1, 2</sup> AND A. POURABBAS<sup>2\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences, Zanjan, I. R. of Iran Email: sadr@iasbs.ac.ir

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, 424 Hafez Avenue, Tehran 15914, I. R. of Iran

Email: arpabbas@aut.ac.ir

**Abstract** –A notion of amenability for topological semigroups is introduced. A topological semigroup S is called Johnson amenable if for every Banach S-bimodule E, every bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $E^*$  is principal. In this paper it is shown that a discrete semigroup S is Johnson amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is an amenable Banach algebra. Also, we show that if a topological semigroup S is Johnson amenable, then it is amenable, but the converse is not true.

Keywords - Amenability, crossed homomorphism, topological semigroup

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Johnson's Theorem [1] asserts that a locally compact Hausdorff group G is amenable if and only if the Banach algebra  $L^1(G)$  is amenable. This is not true for discrete semigroups.

Duncan and Nomioka [2] showed that if  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable, then S is amenable, and for a wide class of inverse semigroups S, they showed that  $\ell^1(S)$  fails to be amenable if  $E_S$  (the set of idempotent elements of S) is infinite.

Amini [3] has recently introduced the nation of module amenability for Banach algebras and showed that, under some action for an inverse semigroup S,  $\ell^1(S)$  is module amenable if and only if S is amenable.

In this paper we introduce the concept of Johnson amenability for topological semigroups. In particular we show that a discrete semigroup S is Johnson amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is an amenable Banach algebra.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let S be a topological semigroup, that is, a semigroup which is a topological space and the semigroup multiplication is separately continuous. A Banach space E is called a Banach S-bimodule, if there exists a two sided linear transitive action of S on E such that,

i.  $s \cdot (x \cdot t) = (s \cdot x) \cdot t$  for all  $s, t \in S, x \in E$ ,

ii. if  $s_i \rightarrow s$  in S and  $x \in E$ , then  $s_i \cdot x \rightarrow s \cdot x$  and  $x \cdot s_i \rightarrow x \cdot s$  in the norm topology, and iii. the action is bounded, that is, there is a M > 0 such that for every  $x \in E$  and  $s \in S$ , we have

$$\left\| s \cdot x \right\| \le M \left\| x \right\|, \quad \left\| x \cdot s \right\| \le M \left\| x \right\|$$

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editor September 16, 2009 and in final revised form September 19, 2010

<sup>\*\*</sup>Corresponding author

We say that the right (respectively, left) action of S on E is trivial, if  $x \cdot s = x$  (respectively,  $s \cdot x = x$ ) for  $s \in S$  and  $x \in E$ ; Also, the right (respectively, left) action is called zero, if  $x \cdot s = 0$  (respectively,  $s \cdot x = 0$ ).

If E is a Banach S-bimodule, then the topological dual  $E^*$  of E is also an S-bimodule, where the action is defined by

$$\langle s \cdot f, x \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot s \rangle, \quad \langle f \cdot s, x \rangle = \langle f, s \cdot x \rangle \quad (s \in S, f \in E^*, x \in E)$$

Note that if  $s_i \to s$  in S,  $f_i \to f$  in  $E^*$  in the weak\*-topology and  $\sup_i ||f_i|| < \infty$ , then  $s_i \cdot f_i \to s \cdot f$  and  $f_i \cdot s_i \to f \cdot s$  in the weak\*-topology and the dual action is also bounded.

Let C(S) be the Banach algebra of complex valued continuous bounded functions on S. Then C(S) is an S-bimodule via the following actions

$$a \cdot s(t) = a(st), \quad s \cdot a(t) = a(ts) \quad (s, t \in S, a \in \mathbf{C}(S)).$$

We call these actions the right and the left function module actions respectively.

A function  $f \in \mathbf{C}(S)$  is right uniformly continuous if  $\lim_{i \to i} ||f \cdot s_i - f \cdot s||_{\infty} = 0$  whenever  $s_i \to s$ . The Banach algebra of all right uniformly continuous functions on S is denoted by  $\mathbf{RUC}(S)$ . Similarly the Banach algebra of all left uniformly continuous functions on S is denoted by  $\mathbf{LUC}(S)$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{RUC}(S)$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{LUC}(S)$ ) is a Banach S-bimodule with the right (respectively, left) function module action and trivial left (respectively, right) action.

Let *E* be a linear subspace of C(S) which contains the constant function  $1_S$ . A mean on *E* is a functional *m* in  $E^*$ , such that  $m(1_S) = ||m|| = 1$ . Suppose that *E* is also closed under right function module action. Then the mean *m* is called left invariant if  $s \cdot m = m$  for all  $s \in S$ . Right invariant means are defined similarly.

**Definition 2.1.** A semigroup S is called left (respectively, right) amenable if there exists a left (respectively, right) invariant mean on  $\mathbf{RUC}(S)$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{LUC}(S)$ ); S is called amenable if it is left and right amenable.

Recall that if S is left amenable with respect to some topology, then it is left amenable with respect to all topologies which are coarser than that. Thus a commutative topological semigroup is amenable, since it is amenable with discrete topology [4, page 16].

We now give the definition of amenability for Banach algebras. Recall that, for a Banach algebra A, a Banach space E is a Banach A-bimodule if E is an A-bimodule and there is a constant M such that  $||a \cdot x|| \le M ||a|| ||x||$  and  $||x \cdot a|| \le M ||x|| ||a||$  for each a in A and x in E.

If E is a Banach A -bimodule, then the dual space  $E^*$  is a Banach A -bimodule with the actions defined by  $\langle a \cdot f, x \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot a \rangle$  and  $\langle f \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle f, a \cdot x \rangle$ , for a in A, x in E and f in  $E^*$ . A derivation of A into an A -bimodule E is a linear map  $D: A \to E$  such that  $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$ , for all a, b in A. For x in E, the map  $a \mapsto a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  is a derivation. Such derivations are called inner.

**Definition 2.2.** A Banach algebra A is amenable if for any Banach A -bimodule E, every continuous derivation  $D: A \to E^*$  is inner.

#### **3. JOHNSON AMENABILITY**

Let S be a topological semigroup and let E be a Banach S-bimodule. A bounded crossed homomorphism is a weak\*-continuous map  $D: S \to E^*$ , such that  $D(st) = s \cdot D(t) + D(s) \cdot t$  for every

 $s,t \in S$  and  $\sup_{s \in S} ||D(s)|| < \infty$ . If f is in  $E^*$ , then  $d_f : S \to E^*$  defined by  $ad_f(s) = s \cdot f - f \cdot s$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism. Such bounded crossed homomorphisms are called principal.

**Definition 3.1.** Let *S* be a topological semigroup. Then *S* is called Johnson amenable if for every Banach *S*-bimodule *E*, every bounded crossed homomorphism from *S* to  $E^*$  is principal.

**Proposition 3.2.** For a topological semigroup S the following are equivalent.

i. S is left amenable.

ii. For every Banach S-bimodule E with trivial left action, any bounded crossed homomorphism  $D: S \to E^*$  is principal.

**Proof:** First, suppose that S is left amenable. Let E be a Banach S-bimodule and let  $D: S \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism. For every  $x \in E$  we define  $\omega_x : S \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\omega_x(s) = \langle D(s), x \rangle$ . Then  $\|\omega_x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{s \in S} |\omega_x(s)| \le \sup_{s \in S} \|D(s)\| \|x\| \le M \|x\|$ , where M > 0 is a bound for D. Let  $s_{\lambda} \to s$  in S. Then  $D(s_{\lambda}) \to D(s)$  in the weak\*-topology. Thus  $\omega_x(s_{\lambda}) \to \omega_x(s)$ , that is,  $\omega_x$  is continuous. Let  $t_{\lambda} \to t$  in S. Then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \omega_x \cdot t_\lambda - \omega_x \cdot t \right\|_{\infty} &= \sup_{s \in S} \mid \omega_x(t_\lambda s) - \omega_x(ts) \mid \\ &= \sup_{s \in S} \mid \langle D(t_\lambda s), x \rangle - \langle D(ts), x \rangle \mid \\ &\leq \mid \langle D(t_\lambda) - D(t), x \rangle \mid + \sup_{s \in S} \mid \langle D(s), x \cdot t_\lambda - x \cdot t \rangle \mid . \end{split}$$

Since the net  $D(t_{\lambda})$  is weak\* convergent to D(t), then  $|\langle D(t_{\lambda}) - D(t), x \rangle| \to 0$ . Also,  $\sup_{s \in S} |\langle D(s), x \cdot t_{\lambda} - x \cdot t \rangle| \leq M ||x \cdot t_{\lambda} - x \cdot t||$ . Therefore,  $\sup_{s \in S} |\langle D(s), x \cdot t_{\lambda} - x \cdot t \rangle| \to 0$  since  $x \cdot t_{\lambda} \to x \cdot t$  in norm and so  $||\omega_x \cdot t_{\lambda} - \omega_x \cdot t||_{\infty} \to 0$ , which implies that  $\omega_x \in \operatorname{RUC}(S)$ . Now, let m be a left invariant mean on  $\operatorname{RUC}(S)$ . Define a linear functional f on E by  $\langle f, x \rangle = m(\omega_x)$  for every  $x \in E$ . Then we have,

$$\left\|f\right\|=\sup_{\|x\|\leq 1}\mid \langle f,x\rangle\mid=\sup_{\|x\|\leq 1}\mid m(\omega_x)\mid\leq \sup_{\|x\|\leq 1}\left\|\omega_x\right\|_{\infty}\leq M.$$

Thus  $f \in E^*$ . For all  $x \in E$  and  $s, t \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_{x \cdot s}(t) &= \langle D(t), x \cdot s \rangle \\ &= \langle s \cdot D(t), x \rangle \\ &= \langle D(st), x \rangle - \langle D(s), x \rangle \\ &= \omega_x(st) - \langle D(s), x \rangle \mathbf{1}_s(t) \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\omega_{x \cdot s} = \omega_x \cdot s - \langle D(s), x \rangle \mathbf{1}_S$ . This implies that

$$\begin{split} \langle f - s \cdot f, x \rangle &= \langle f, x \rangle - \langle f, x \cdot s \rangle \\ &= m(\omega_x - \omega_{x \cdot s}) \\ &= m(\omega_x - \omega_x \cdot s - \langle D(s), x \rangle \mathbf{1}_S) \\ &= \langle D(s), x \rangle, \end{split}$$

for every  $x \in E$  and  $s \in S$ . Thus  $D(s) = f - s \cdot f$  for all  $s \in S$ , and D is principal.

Conversely, consider the Banach *S*-bimodule  $E = \mathbf{RUC}(S)$  with trivial left action. Let  $F = E / \mathbb{C}1_S$ . Then *F* is a Banach *S*-bimodule and  $F^*$  is canonically isometrically isomorphic with the submodule  $L = \{f \in E^* : \langle f, 1_S \rangle = 0\}$  of  $E^*$ . In particular, *L* is the dual of a Banach *S*-bimodule. Let

 $f \in E^* \setminus L$  be arbitrary (note that by the Hann-Banach theorem  $E^* \setminus L \neq \emptyset$ ). Define  $D: S \to L$  by  $D(s) = s \cdot f - f$ . Clearly D is a bounded crossed homomorphism. Thus D is principal and so for some  $g \in L$  we have  $D(s) = s \cdot g - g$ . Thus for h = g - f, we have  $h \neq 0$  and  $s \cdot h = h$  for every  $s \in S$ . Since **RUC**(S) is a commutative C\*-algebra, there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Delta$  with a canonical left action of S, such that  $C(\Delta)$  and **RUC**(S) are isometrically \*-isomorphic C\*-algebras and isomorphic S-modules. Thus one can consider h as a S-invariant complex Borel regular measure on  $\Delta$ . Now,  $|h| / |h| (\Delta)$  is an invariant mean for S, where |h| denotes total variation measure of h.

With the same argument of Proposition 3.2 one can prove that, S is right amenable, if and only if for every Banach S-bimodule E, with trivial right action, every bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $E^*$  is principal. Thus we have,

**Theorem 3.3.** Let S be a topological semigroup. If S is Johnson amenable, S is amenable.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let S be a topological semigroup with a unit element e and let E be a Banach S-bimodule with either zero right action or zero left action. Then any bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $E^*$  is principal.

**Proof:** Suppose that the right action is zero. Then the left action of S on  $E^*$  is zero. If  $D: S \to E^*$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism, then for every  $s \in S$  we have,  $D(s) = D(es) = e \cdot D(s) + D(e) \cdot s = D(e) \cdot s = D(e) \cdot s - s \cdot D(e) = ad_{-D(e)}(s)$ . Proof for the other case is similar.

Let S be a topological semigroup with a unit element e. A Banach S-bimodule E is called leftunital (respectively, right-unital) if  $e \cdot x = x$  (respectively,  $x \cdot e = x$ ) for all  $x \in E$ . Also, E is called unital if it is both left and right-unital.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let S be a topological semigroup with a unit element e and let E be a Banach S-bimodule. Let  $F = \{e \cdot x : x \in E\}$  (respectively,  $G = \{x \cdot e : x \in E\}$ ). Then F (respectively, G) is a left-unital (respectively, right-unital) closed submodule of E. Also, if every bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $F^*$  (respectively,  $G^*$ ) is principal, then every bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $E^*$  is principal.

**Proof:** We prove the Lemma for F, the other case is similar. Note that F is a left unital submodule of E. Let  $x \in E$  be an accumulation point of F. Then there exists a net  $(e \cdot x_i)$  in F such that  $e \cdot x_i \to x$  in norm. Thus  $e \cdot x_i = e \cdot (e \cdot x_i) \to e \cdot x$  in norm. This implies  $x = e \cdot x \in F$ , thus F is closed. Now, suppose every bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $F^*$  is principal. Let  $D: S \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $F^*$  is principal. Let  $D: S \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism and let  $\pi: E^* \to F^*$  be the restriction map. Then  $\pi$  is a bimodule homomorphism and thus  $\pi \circ D$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $F^*$ . Thus there exists  $f \in F^*$  such that  $\pi \circ D = ad_f$ . Let  $\overline{f} \in E^*$  be such that  $\pi(\overline{f}) = f$  and let  $\tilde{D} = D - ad_{\overline{f}}$ . Then for every  $s \in S$ , the functional  $\tilde{D}(s)$  vanishes on F. By identification  $\{f \in E^*: f \mid_F = 0\} \cong (E / F)^*$ , we can suppose that  $\tilde{D}$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $(E / F)^*$ . On the other hand, the left action of S on E / F is zero. Thus by Lemma 3.4,  $\tilde{D} = ad_g$  for some  $g \in E^*$ . Therefore we have  $D = ad_{f+g}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let S be a topological semigroup with a unit element e. Then the following are equivalent.

i. S is Johnson amenable.

Iranian Journal of Science & Technology, Trans. A, Volume 34, Number A2

ii. For every unital Banach S-bimodule E, any bounded crossed homomorphism from S to  $E^*$  is principal.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let *E* be a Banach *S*-bimodule and  $F_1 = \{x \cdot e : x \in E\}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $F_1$  is a closed rightunital submodule of *E*. Also, let  $F_2 = \{e \cdot x \cdot e : x \in E\}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $F_2$  is a closed (two sided) unital submodule of  $F_1$  and hence any bounded crossed homomorphism from *S* to  $F_2^*$  is principal, thus by Lemma 3.5, any bounded crossed homomorphism from *S* to  $F_1^*$  is principal. Again by Lemma 3.5, any bounded crossed homomorphism from *S* to  $E_1^*$  is principal. Again by Lemma 3.5, any bounded crossed homomorphism from *S* to  $E_1^*$  is principal. This completes the proof.

Recall that for a topological group G, the map  $(g,h) \mapsto gh^{-1}$  from  $G \times G$  to G is jointly continuous.

Theorem 3.7. Let G be a topological group. Then the following are equivalent.

i. G is amenable.

ii. G is Johnson amenable.

**Proof:** (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Follows from Theorem 3.3.

Suppose that G is amenable. By Proposition 3.6, it is enough to prove that if E is a unital Banach G-bimodule and  $D: G \to E^*$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism, then D is principal. Let  $E_{\#}$  be a Banach G-bimodule with underlying space E and trivial left action, and the right action defined by  $x * g := g^{-1} \cdot x \cdot g$  for  $x \in E$  and  $g \in G$ . Then the dual action of G on  $E_{\#}^*$  becomes,  $g * f = g \cdot f \cdot g^{-1}$  and f \* g = f for  $f \in E^*$ . Define  $D_{\#}: G \to E_{\#}^*$  by  $D_{\#}(g) = D(g) \cdot g^{-1}$  ( $g \in G$ ). Then for any  $g, h \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{split} D_{\#}(gh) &= D(gh) \cdot (gh)^{-1} \\ &= D(g) \cdot (hh^{-1}g^{-1}) + g \cdot D(h) \cdot (h^{-1}g^{-1}) \\ &= D_{\#}(g) + g * D_{\#}(h) \\ &= D_{\#}(g) * h + g * D_{\#}(h). \end{split}$$

Thus  $D_{\#}$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism and by Proposition 3.2,  $D_{\#}$  is principal. Thus for some  $f \in E^*$  and every  $g \in G$ , we have  $D(g) \cdot g^{-1} = D_{\#}(g) = g * f - f = g \cdot f \cdot g^{-1} - f$ , that implies  $D(g) = g \cdot f - f \cdot g$ . Thus D is principal.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Then the following are equivalent.

i. G is amenable.

ii. G is Johnson amenable.

iii.  $L^1(G)$  is an amenable Banach algebra.

**Proof:** (i) and (iii) are equivalent by Johnson's Theorem [1]. (i) and (ii) are equivalent by Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let S be a discrete semigroup. Then S is Johnson amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is an amenable Banach algebra.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable. Let *E* be a Banach *S*-bimodule and let  $D: S \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism. Then *E* by the actions

$$a \cdot x = \sum_{s \in S} a(s)(s \cdot x), \quad x \cdot a = \sum_{s \in S} a(s)(x \cdot s) \quad (a \in \ell^1(S), x \in E)$$

is a Banach  $\ell^1(S)$ -bimodule and D can be canonically extended to a bounded derivation  $\overline{D} : \ell^1(S) \to E^*$ , defined by  $\overline{D}(\delta_s) = D(s)$ . Thus there is  $f \in E^*$  such that  $\overline{D}(a) = a \cdot f - f \cdot a$  for all  $a \in \ell^1(S)$ . Thus  $D = ad_f$  and S is Johnson amenable.

Conversely, suppose that S is Johnson amenable. Let E be a Banach  $\ell^1(S)$ -bimodule and let  $D: \ell^1(S) \to E^*$  be a bounded derivation. Then E is a Banach S-bimodule by the actions

$$s \cdot x = \delta_s \cdot x, \quad x \cdot s = x \cdot \delta_s \quad (s \in S, x \in E).$$

Also,  $\tilde{D}: S \to E^*$  defined by  $\tilde{D}(s) = D(\delta_s)$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism. Thus there is  $f \in E^*$  such that for all  $s \in S$ ,  $\tilde{D}(s) = s \cdot x - x \cdot s$ . This implies that  $D(a) = a \cdot f - f \cdot a$  for all  $a \in \ell^1(S)$ , and thus D is an inner derivation.

#### 4. HEREDITARY PROPERTIES

**Proposition 4.1.** Let S and T be topological semigroups and let  $\phi : T \to S$  be a continuous semigroup homomorphism with dense range. If T is Johnson amenable, then so is S.

**Proof:** Suppose that T is Johnson amenable. Let E be a Banach S-bimodule and  $D: S \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism. Then E is a Banach T-bimodule by the action,

$$t \cdot x = \phi(t) \cdot x, \quad x \cdot t = x \cdot \phi(t) \quad (t \in T, x \in E).$$

Also,  $D \circ \phi : T \to E^*$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism. Thus there exists  $f \in E^*$  such that for all  $t \in T$ ,  $D(\phi(t)) = t \cdot f - f \cdot t$ . Since  $\phi(T)$  is dense in S and D is continuous, we have  $D(s) = s \cdot f - f \cdot s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Let S be a topological semigroup and T be a dense topological subsemigroup of S. Then, if T is Johnson amenable, then so is S.

**Proof:** Apply Proposition 4.1 with the identity continuous homomorphism  $id: T \to S$ .

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff non compact group, and  $S = G \cup \{\infty\}$  be its one point compactification. Extend the semigroup operation of G to S by putting  $g\infty = \infty g = \infty \infty = \infty$  ( $g \in G$ ). Then S becomes a compact Hausdorff topological semigroup which is not a group and has G as a dense subsemigroup. Thus by Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 4.2, if G is an amenable group, then S is Johnson amenable.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let S be a semigroup and let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be two topologies on S for which S is a topological semigroup, such that  $\tau \subset \tau'$ . If S is Johnson amenable with topology  $\tau'$ , then S is Johnson amenable with  $\tau$ .

**Proof:** Apply Proposition 4.1 with the identity continuous homomorphism  $id: (S, \tau') \to (S, \tau)$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a class of topological semigroups. Consider the topological semigroup  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ , with product topology. If  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$  is Johnson amenable, then so is  $S_i$  for every  $i \in I$ .

**Proof:** For every  $j \in I$ , consider the canonical projection  $\prod_{i \in I} S_i \to S_i$  and apply Proposition 4.1.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let S be a topological semigroup and let (I, <) be a directed set. Suppose that for any  $i \in I$ ,  $S_i$  is a topological subsemigroup of S such that

i. if i < j, then  $S_i \subset S_j$ ,

ii.  $S_0 = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$  is dense in S, and

iii. there exists a K > 0 such that for every  $i \in I$ , for every Banach  $S_i$ -bimodule E and for each bounded crossed homomorphism  $D: S_i \to E^*$ , there exists  $f \in E^*$  with  $D(s) = s \cdot f - f \cdot s$  ( $s \in S_i$ ) and  $||f|| \le K_{\sup_{s \in S_i}} ||D(s)||$ . Then S is Johnson amenable.

**Proof:** By Corollary 4.2, it is enough to prove that  $S_0$  is Johnson amenable. Let E be a Banach  $S_0$ -bimodule and  $D: S_0 \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism. For every  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i$  be in  $E^*$  such that  $D|_{S_i}(s) = s \cdot f_i - f_i \cdot s$   $(s \in S_i)$  and  $||f||_i \leq K_{\sup_{s \in S_i}} ||D(s)|| \leq K_{\sup_{s \in S_0}} ||D(s)||$ . Then  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  is a bounded net in  $E^*$ , and thus has a weak\*-accumulation point f. By passing to a subnet we may assume  $f_i w^* \to f$ . Now, if  $s \in S_0$ , then for some  $i_0$ , we have  $s \in S_i$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ , and thus for every  $x \in E$ ,

$$\begin{split} \langle D(s), x \rangle &= \langle s \cdot f_i - f_i \cdot s, x \rangle \\ &= \langle f_i, x \cdot s - s \cdot x \rangle \\ &\to \langle f, x \cdot s - s \cdot x \rangle \\ &= \langle s \cdot f - f \cdot s, x \rangle. \end{split}$$

Thus for every  $s \in S_0$ ,  $D(s) = s \cdot f - f \cdot s$  and D is principal. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let S and T be topological semigroups with unit elements. If S and T are Johnson amenable, then so is  $S \times T$ .

**Proof:** Let e and e' denote the units of S and T, respectively. Consider topological subsemigroups  $\hat{S} = S \times \{e'\}$  and  $\hat{T} = \{e\} \times T$  of  $S \times T$ . Clearly,  $\hat{S}$  and  $\hat{T}$  are Johnson amenable. Let E be a Banach  $S \times T$ -bimodule and  $D: S \times T \to E^*$  be a bounded crossed homomorphism. Then E is canonically a Banach  $\hat{S}$ -bimodule and a Banach  $\hat{T}$ -bimodule.

Consider the bounded crossed homomorphism  $D|_{\hat{S}}: \hat{S} \to E^*$ . By Johnson amenability of  $\hat{S}$ , there is some  $f_0 \in E^*$ , such that for all  $s \in S$ ,

$$D(s,e') = (s,e') \cdot f_0 - f_0 \cdot (s,e') \tag{1}$$

Now, consider bounded crossed homomorphism  $\tilde{D} := D - ad_{f_0}$  from  $S \times T$  to  $E^*$ . Then  $\tilde{D}|_{\hat{S}} = 0$  and for all  $(s,t) \in S \times T$  we have,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}(s,t) &= \tilde{D}((s,e')(e,t)) \\ &= \tilde{D}(s,e') \cdot (e,t) + (s,e') \cdot \tilde{D}(e,t) \\ &= (s,e') \cdot \tilde{D}(e,t). \end{split}$$

Similarly,  $\tilde{D}(s,t) = \tilde{D}(e,t) \cdot (s,e')$ . Thus if  $F = \{f \in E^* : (s,e') \cdot f = f \cdot (s,e') \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ , then the range of  $\tilde{D}$  is in F. On the other hand if L is the closed linear span of  $\{(s,e') \cdot x - x \cdot (s,e') : s \in S, x \in E\}$ , then L is a Banach  $\hat{T}$ -submodule of E. Thus F is the dual of a Banach  $\hat{T}$ -bimodule, since F is identical with  $(E / L)^*$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{D} \mid_{\hat{T}} : \hat{T} \to F$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism and thus for some  $f_1 \in F \subset E^*$ , we have  $\tilde{D} \mid_{\hat{T}} = ad_{f_1} \mid_{\hat{T}}$ , or equivalently, for all  $t \in T$ ,

$$D(e,t) = (e,t) \cdot f_1 - f_1 \cdot (e,t),$$
(2)

and for all  $s \in S$ , Spring 2010

Iranian Journal of Science & Technology, Trans. A, Volume 34, Number A2

$$(s,e') \cdot f_1 = f_1 \cdot (s,e').$$
 (3)

Now from (1), (2) and (3) we have for all  $(s,t) \in S \times T$ ,

$$\begin{split} D(s,t) &= D((s,e')(e,t)) \\ &= D(s,e') \cdot (e,t) + (s,e') \cdot D(e,t) \\ &= ((s,e') \cdot f_0 - f_0 \cdot (s,e')) \cdot (e,t) \\ &+ (s,e') \cdot ((e,t) \cdot f_1 - f_1 \cdot (e,t) + (e,t) \cdot f_0 - f_0 \cdot (e,t)) \\ &= (s,t) \cdot f_1 - f_1 \cdot (s,t) + (s,t) \cdot f_0 - f_0 \cdot (s,t) \\ &= ad_{f_0 + f_1}(s,t). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

# 5. SOME EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

Let A be a Banach algebra. By a *structural semigroup* of A, we mean a subset S of A, such that i. S is closed under multiplication,

ii. the linear span of  ${\cal S}$  is norm dense in  ${\cal A}$  , and

iii. 
$$\sup_{s \in S} \|s\| < \infty$$

We consider S as a topological semigroup with topology induced by the norm of A.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let A be a Banach algebra and let S be a structural semigroup of A. If S is Johnson amenable, then A is amenable.

**Proof:** Let *E* be a Banach *A*-bimodule and let  $D: A \to E^*$  be a bounded derivation. Then it is easily checked that *E* is a Banach *S*-bimodule with the same action as *A*, and the map  $D|_S: S \to E^*$  is a crossed homomorphism. Since *D* is a bounded derivation and  $\sup_{s \in S} ||s|| < \infty$ , then  $\sup_{s \in S} ||D|_S(s)|| < \infty$ . Also,  $D|_S$  is continuous in the weak\*-topology of  $E^*$ , since it is continuous in the norm topology of  $E^*$ . Therefore,  $D|_S$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism. Since *S* is Johnson amenable, then there is  $f \in E^*$  such that  $D(s) = s \cdot f - f \cdot s$  for all  $s \in S$ . Since *A* is the closed linear span of *S*, we have  $D(a) = a \cdot f - f \cdot a$  for all  $a \in A$ , and the proof is complete.

Let A be a non-amenable commutative Banach algebra. Let S be a structural semigroup of A defined by,

$$S = \{a \in A : \|a\| < 1\}.$$

Then S is an amenable topological semigroup since S is commutative. But by Theorem 5.1, S is not Johnson amenable.

Let *B* be a unital commutative C\*-algebra and *G* be the unitary group of *B*. Then *G* is a structural semigroup of *B*. On the other hand, *G* is abelian and thus an amenable group. Then by Theorem 3.7, *G* is Johnson amenable. Thus by Theorem 5.1, *B* is an amenable Banach algebra.

A Banach algebra A is called *dual*, if there exits a closed submodule  $A_*$  of  $A^*$  such that  $A = (A_*)^*$ , see [5, Section 4.4]. Let A be a dual Banach algebra. In what follows, we shall therefore suppose that A always comes with a fixed  $A_*$ . It is easily checked that the multiplication of A is separately weak\*-continuous. Let E be a Banach A -bimodule. We call E pre normal A -bimodule if for each  $x \in E$ , the maps  $a \mapsto a \cdot x$  and  $a \mapsto x \cdot a$  from A to  $E^*$  are weak\*-continuous.

The dual Banach algebra A is called *Connes-amenable*, if for every pre-normal Banach A -bimodule E, every weak\*-continuous derivation  $D: A \to E^*$  is inner. For more details on Connes-amenability, we refer the reader to [5-8].

For the dual Banach algebra A, we call a subset S of A, *dual structural semigroup* of A, if it satisfies (i) and (iii) of the definition of structural semigroup and also satisfies

ii'. the linear span of S is weak\*-dense in A.

We always consider the dual structural semigroup S as a topological semigroup with induced weak\*-topology of the dual Banach algebra A.

The proof of the following is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let A be a dual Banach algebra and S be a dual structural semigroup of A. If S is Johnson amenable, then A is Connes-amenable.

In [8] it was shown that for any locally compact group G, the measure algebra  $\mathbf{M}(G)$  is Connesamenable if and only if G is amenable. Now, we can prove the ``if" part of this result by our method.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let G be an amenable locally compact group. Then M(G) is Connes-amenable.

**Proof:** Let  $\delta : G \to \mathbf{M}(G)$  be the usual pointmass measure map. Then  $\delta$  is a continuous homomorphism in the weak\*-topology and convolution product of  $\mathbf{M}(G)$ . Thus by Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 4.1, the topological semigroup  $\delta(G)$  is Johnson amenable. Also,  $\delta(G)$  is a dual structural semigroup for dual Banach algebra  $\mathbf{M}(G)$ . Thus by Theorem 5.2,  $\mathbf{M}(G)$  is Connes-amenable.

The following is a Hann-Banach theorem. This is similar to Proposition 2 of [9] in the Banach algebra case, see also [10]. We call an element x of S-module E symmetric if for every s in S,  $s \cdot x = x \cdot s$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let S be a Johnson amenable topological semigroup. Let E be a Banach S-bimodule and F be a Banach submodule of E. Then any symmetric functional in  $F^*$  has an extension to a symmetric functional in  $E^*$ .

**Proof:** The quotient Banach space Y = E / F is a Banach *S*-bimodule by the actions  $s \cdot (x + F) = s \cdot x + F$  and  $(x + F) \cdot s = x \cdot s + F$  for *s* in *S* and *x* in *E*. Let *f* be a symmetric element of  $F^*$  and  $\hat{f}$  be any continuous extension of *f* on *E*. For every *s* in *S*,  $s \cdot \hat{f} - \hat{f} \cdot s$  is in  $F^{\perp}$ , the Banach space of all functional in  $E^*$  that vanish on *F*. Let *Q* be the canonical isometry from  $F^{\perp}$  onto  $(E / F)^*$ . Then the map  $\delta(s) = Q(s \cdot \hat{f} - \hat{f} \cdot s)$  is a bounded crossed homomorphism, since *Q* is weak\*-weak\* continuous and *S*-bimodule homomorphism. Since *S* is Johnson amenable, there exists *h* in  $F^{\perp}$  such that  $\delta(s) = s \cdot Q(h) - Q(h) \cdot s$ , for all *s* in *S*. It follows that  $\overline{f} = \hat{f} - h$  is a symmetric extension of *f* on *E*.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Johnson, B. E. (1972). Cohomology in Banach algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 127, 96.
- Duncan, J. & Namioka, I. (1978). Amenability of inverse semigroups and thier semigroup algebras, *Proceedings* of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 80A, 309-321.
- 3. Amini, M. (2004). Module amenability for semigroup algebras. Semigroup Forum, 69, 243-254.
- 4. Paterson, A. L. T. (2002). Amenability. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 1978.
- 5. Runde, V. (2002). Lectures on amenability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics: Vol. 1774, Berlin, Springer-Verlag.

- 6. Runde, V. (2001). Amenability for dual Banach algebras. Studia Math, 148(1), 47-66.
- 7. Runde, V. (2004). Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonals, and injectivity of the predual bimodule. *Math.cand.*, 95(1), 124-144.
- 8. Runde, V. (2003). Connes-amenability and normal, virtual diagonals for measure algebras I. J. London Math. Soc., 67(3), 643-656.
- 9. Bunce, J. W. (1972). Characterizations of amenable and strongly amenable C\*-algebras. *Pacific J. Math*, 43, 563-572.
- 10. Lau, A. T. (1978). Characterization of Amenable Banach Algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 70(2), 156-160.

Iranian Journal of Science & Technology, Trans. A, Volume 34, Number A2